

# Supplementary Material of “Efficient $k$ -Support Matrix Pursuit”

Anonymous ECCV submission

Paper ID 384

In this supplementary material, we prove the two lemma in the main paper.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that  $l$  satisfies  $z_l > \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \geq z_{l+1}$ . Let  $low, high, mid$  are variables generated by the Algorithm 3, Then*

(1) *If  $z_{mid} > \frac{T_{r,mid}}{mid-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$  then  $mid \leq l$ .*

(2) *If  $z_{mid} \leq \frac{T_{r,mid}}{mid-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$  then  $l \leq mid - 1$ .*

And

**Lemma 2.** *For any  $r$  such that  $r \in [0, k - 1]$ . If  $z_{k-r} > 0$ , it definitely exists a  $l$  satisfies  $z_l > \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \geq z_{l+1}$  in the range of  $[k - r, nd]$ . If  $z_{k-r} = 0$ , we can return  $l = k - r$ .*

Before we prove the Lemma 1, we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $z_l > \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$ , then  $z_{l-1} > \frac{T_{r,l-1}}{l-1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$ , where  $T_{r,l} = \sum_{i=k-r}^l z_i$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & z_{l-1} * (l - 1 - k + rL + 1 + \beta(r + 1)) \\
 &= z_{l-1} * (l - k + r + 1 + \beta(r + 1)) - z_{l-1} \\
 &\geq z_l * (l - k + r + 1 + \beta(r + 1)) - z_{l-1} \\
 &> T_{r,l} - z_{l-1} \\
 &= T_{r,l-1}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the first inequality follows  $z_{l-1} \geq z_l$  and the second inequality is the assumption. ■

**Lemma 4.** *If  $z_l \leq \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$ , then  $z_{l+1} \leq \frac{T_{r,l+1}}{l+1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & z_{l+1} * (l + 1 - k + r + 1 + \beta(r + 1)) \\
 &= z_{l+1} * (l - k + r + 1 + \beta(r + 1)) + z_{l+1} \\
 &\leq z_l * (l - k + r + 1 + \beta(r + 1)) + z_{l+1} \\
 &\leq T_{r,l} + z_{l+1} \\
 &= T_{r,l+1}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the first inequality follows  $z_l \geq z_{l+1}$  and the second inequality is the assumption. ■

According to Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we can proof the Lemma 1.

*Proof.* (1) Assumed that the claim does not hold. Thus, we have  $l + 1 \leq mid$ . According to  $z_{mid} > \frac{T_{r,mid}}{mid-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$  and Lemma 3, we know  $z_{l+1} > \frac{T_{r,l+1}}{l+1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} z_{l+1} &> \frac{T_{r,l+1}}{l+1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \\ \rightarrow z_{l+1}(l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)) + z_{l+1} &> T_{r,l+1} \\ \rightarrow z_{l+1}(l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)) &> T_{r,l} \\ \rightarrow z_{l+1} &> \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

This is a contradiction with  $\frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \geq z_{l+1}$ .

(2) Assumed that the claim does not hold. Thus, we have  $l \geq mid$ . According to  $z_{mid} \leq \frac{T_{r,mid}}{mid-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$  and Lemma 4, we know  $z_l \leq \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$ . This is a contradiction with  $z_l > \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$ . ■

Now, we proof the Lemma 2.

*Proof.* First, when  $z_{k-r} = 0$ , it means that  $z_{k-r+1} = \dots = z_{nd} = 0$ . In such case, there is not exist a  $l$  satisfies  $z_l > \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$ . Any  $l \in [k-r, nd]$  can be returned and not influence the result. Hence, we can simply let  $l = k-r$ .

Now, we consider the case of  $z_{k-r} > 0$ . To proof  $l \in [k-r, nd]$ , we only need to show (1)  $z_{k-r} > \frac{T_{r,k-r}}{k-r-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$  and (2)  $z_{nd+1} \leq \frac{T_{r,nd+1}}{nd+1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$ . If both (1) and (2) are satisfied, according to Lemma 1, we have  $l \geq k-r$  and  $l \leq nd$ . Hence  $l \in [k-r, nd]$ .

It is easy to verify that both (1) and (2) are true. Since  $z_{k-r} - \frac{T_{r,k-r}}{k-r-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} = z_{k-r} - \frac{z_{k-r}}{1+\beta(r+1)} > 0$ , we have the (1). Since  $z_{d+1} = -\infty$ , it is less than or equal to any value, hence we have the (2).

Now, we show which  $l \in [k-r, nd]$  satisfies the inequalities. Since both (1) and (2) are true, this indicates that we can find at least a  $l \in [k-r, nd]$  satisfies  $z_l - \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} > 0$  and  $z_{l+1} - \frac{T_{r,l+1}}{l+1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \leq 0$ .

We have  $z_{l+1} - \frac{T_{r,l+1}}{l+1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \leq 0 \Rightarrow z_{l+1} \leq \frac{T_{r,l} + z_{l+1}}{l+1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \Rightarrow (l+1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1))z_{l+1} \leq T_{r,l} \Rightarrow z_{l+1} \leq \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)}$ .

Hence,  $z_l > \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} > z_{l+1}$ . we find the  $l$ . ■

**Lemma 5.** If  $z_{k-r} > 0$ , there is an unique  $l$  satisfies  $z_l > \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \geq z_{l+1}$  in the range of  $[k-r, d]$ .

*Proof.* Since  $z_l > \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \geq z_{l+1}$ , we have (1)  $z_l - \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} > 0$  and (2)  $z_{l+1} - \frac{T_{r,l+1}}{l+1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \leq 0$ . (According to the Lemma 2).

We show there is an unique  $l \in [k - r, d]$  satisfies the inequalities. Assumed the claim does not hold. Thus, there exists  $l$  and  $\hat{l}$  for which  $l < \hat{l}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} z_l - \frac{T_{r,l}}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} > 0 \\ z_{l+1} - \frac{T_{r,l+1}}{l+1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} z_{\hat{l}} - \frac{T_{r,\hat{l}}}{\hat{l}-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} > 0 \\ z_{\hat{l}+1} - \frac{T_{r,\hat{l}+1}}{\hat{l}+1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Since  $z_{l+1} - \frac{T_{r,l+1}}{l+1-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \leq 0$  and  $\hat{l} \geq l + 1$ , according to Lemma 4, we have  $z_{\hat{l}} - \frac{T_{r,\hat{l}}}{\hat{l}-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} \leq 0$ . This is a contradiction with  $z_{\hat{l}} - \frac{T_{r,\hat{l}}}{\hat{l}-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} > 0$ . ■

## 1 Computation of the proximity operator

$$\min_w \frac{\beta}{2} \|w - v\|_F^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\|w\|_k^{sp})^2 \quad (4)$$

Argyriou *et al.* [8] showed that this computation of the *proximity operator* can be done in  $O(nd(k + \log(nd)))$  steps. Here we include the derivation for self-containedness.

Before we present the solution, we firstly give the following two lemmas. Lemma 6 indicates that the each component of the optimal solution  $w^*$  has the same sign of its counterpart in  $v$ . Lemma 7 shows that if  $|v_i|$  is the  $j$ th largest element of  $|v|$ , then  $|w_i|$  is the  $j$ th largest element of  $|w^*|$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $w^*$  be the optimal solution to the minimization problem given in Eq. (4). Then  $w_i^* v_i \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, nd$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that the claim does not hold. Thus, there exists  $i$  for which  $w_i^* v_i < 0$ . Let  $w$  be a vector such that  $w_k = w_k^*$  for all  $k \neq i$  and  $w_i = 0$ . It is easy to verify that (1)  $\|w^*\|_k^{sp} \geq \|w\|_k^{sp}$  and (2)  $\|w^* - v\|^2 > \|w - v\|^2$ . We thus find a solution  $w$  which attains an objective value smaller than that of  $w^*$ . This is a contradiction with  $w^*$  is the optimal solution. ■

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $w^*$  be the optimal solution to the minimization problem given in Eq. (4). Then for any  $i, j$ , if  $|v_i| \geq |v_j|$ , we also have  $|w_i| \geq |w_j|$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that the claim does not hold. Thus, there exists  $i, j$  for which  $|v_i| \geq |v_j|$  and  $|w_i^*| < |w_j^*|$ . Let  $w$  be a vector such that  $w_k = w_k^*$  for all  $k \neq i, k \neq j$  and  $w_i = \text{sign}(v_i)|w_j^*|, w_j = \text{sign}(v_j)|w_i^*|$ . Therefore,  $\frac{\lambda}{2} (\|w^*\|_k^{sp})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|w^* - v\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} (\|w\|_k^{sp})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|w - v\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} ((w_i^* - v_i)^2 + (w_j^* - v_j)^2 - (\text{sign}(v_i)|w_j^*| - v_i)^2 - (\text{sign}(v_j)|w_i^*| - v_j)^2) = -|w_i^*||v_i| - |w_j^*||v_j| + |w_j^*||v_i| + |w_i^*||v_j| = (|w_j^*| - |w_i^*|)(|v_i| - |v_j|) \geq 0$ .

Hence,  $w$  attains an objective value less than or equal to that of  $w^*$ . This is a contradiction. ■

Based on the lemma 6 and lemma 7, we can rewrite the optimization problem as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_q \quad & \frac{1}{2\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-r-1} q_i^2 + \frac{1}{r+1} \left( \sum_{i=k-r}^{nd} |q_i| \right)^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \|q - z\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & q_1 \geq q_2 \geq \dots \geq q_{nd} \geq 0 \\ & q_{k-r-1} > \frac{1}{r+1} \left( \sum_{i=k-r}^{nd} q_i \right) \geq q_{k-r} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $z$  denotes the vector obtained by sorting the absolute value of  $v$  in a descending order,  $z_1 \geq z_2 \geq \dots \geq z_{nd} \geq 0$ . Let  $s$  be denoted as the corresponding index,  $|v_{s_i}| = z_i$ . Once we obtain the optimal solution of Eq. (5), we can construct the solution of Eq. (4) by setting

$$w_{s_i} = \text{sign}(v_{s_i}) q_i. \quad (6)$$

Now, we consider to solve the Eq. (5). Without the constrains, Eq.(5) can be rewrite as the following two sub problems:

$$\min_{q_1, \dots, q_{k-r-1}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-r-1} (q_i^2/\beta + (q_i - z_i)^2) \quad (7)$$

$$\min_{q_{k-r}, \dots, q_{nd}} \frac{1}{2\beta(r+1)} \left( \sum_{i=k-r}^{nd} |q_i| \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=k-r}^{nd} (q_i - z_i)^2 \quad (8)$$

Eq (7) is a simple problem. The optimal solution is

$$q_i = \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} z_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k-r-1 \quad (9)$$

We take the derivative of Eq.(8) with respect to  $q_j$  to zero, where  $j = k-r, \dots, nd$ . we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\beta(r+1)} \left( \sum_{i=k-r}^{nd} |q_i| \right) \nabla |q_j| + (q_j - z_j) = 0 \quad (10)$$

where  $\nabla |q_j|$  is the sub-gradient of  $|q_j|$ . Since  $q_j \geq 0$ , we have

$$\nabla |q_j| = \begin{cases} \{c_j \in R | 0 \leq c_j \leq 1\} & \text{if } q_j = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } q_j > 0 \end{cases}$$

Hence, we need to discuss the two cases for finding the solution of Eq.(8). Suppose that  $q_{k-r} \geq \dots \geq q_l > 0$  and  $q_{l+1} = \dots = q_{nd} = 0$ . Substitution it into

Eq. (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\beta(r+1)} \left( \sum_{i=k-r}^l q_i \right) + (q_{k-r} - z_{k-r}) = 0 \\
& \dots \\
& \frac{1}{\beta(r+1)} \left( \sum_{i=k-r}^l q_i \right) + (q_l - z_l) = 0 \\
& \frac{1}{\beta(r+1)} \left( \sum_{i=k-r}^l q_i \right) \geq z_{l+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Hence, the optimal solution of Eq (8) is

$$q_i = \begin{cases} z_i - \frac{\sum_{i=k-r}^l z_i}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} & \text{if } i = k-r, \dots, l \\ 0 & \text{if } i = l+1, \dots, nd \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Substitution the solution into Eq. (11), we have  $l$  satisfies

$$z_l > \frac{\sum_{i=k-r}^l z_i}{\beta(r+1) + l - k + r + 1} \geq z_{l+1}. \tag{13}$$

Now, we consider the constrain  $q_{k-r-1} > \frac{1}{r+1} (\sum_{i=k-r}^{nd} q_i)^2 \geq q_{k-r}$ . Substitution the solutions of Eq. (8) and Eq. (7) into it, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{k-r-1} & > \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=k-r}^{nd} q_i \geq q_{k-r} \\
\Rightarrow \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} z_{k-r-1} & > \beta \left( \frac{\sum_{i=k-r}^l z_i}{\beta(r+1) + l - k + r + 1} \right) \\
& \geq z_{k-r} - \frac{\sum_{i=k-r}^l z_i}{\beta(r+1) + l - k + r + 1} \\
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\beta+1} z_{k-r-1} & > \frac{\sum_{i=k-r}^l z_i}{\beta(r+1) + l - k + r + 1} \geq \frac{1}{\beta+1} z_{k-r}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Hence, the solution of Eq. (5) is

$$q_i = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} z_i & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, k-r-1 \\ z_i - \frac{\sum_{i=k-r}^l z_i}{l-k+r+1+\beta(r+1)} & \text{if } i = k-r, \dots, l \\ 0 & \text{if } i = l+1, \dots, nd \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

where  $r$  and  $l$  satisfy that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta+1} z_{k-r-1} > \frac{\sum_{i=k-r}^l z_i}{\beta(r+1)+l-k+r+1} \geq \frac{1}{\beta+1} z_{k-r} \\ z_l > \frac{\sum_{i=k-r}^l z_i}{\beta(r+1)+l-k+r+1} \geq z_{l+1} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$