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Abstract

In this work, we address the multi-label Mumford-Shah problem, i.e., the problem of jointly estimating a partitioning of the domain of the image, and functions defined within regions of the partition. We create algorithms that are efficient, robust to undesirable local minima, and are easy-to-implement. Our algorithms are formulated by slightly modifying the underlying statistical model from which the multi-label Mumford-Shah functional is derived. The advantage of this statistical model is that the underlying variables: the labels and the functions are less coupled than in the original formulation, and the labels can be computed from the functions with more global updates. The resulting algorithms can be tuned to the desired level of locality of the solution: from fully global updates to more local updates. We demonstrate our algorithm on two applications: joint multi-label segmentation and denoising, and joint multi-label motion segmentation and flow estimation. We compare to the state-of-the-art in multi-label Mumford-Shah problems and show that we achieve more promising results.

1. Introduction

Many problems in computer vision are formulated as Mumford-Shah problems, i.e., joint estimation problems where two variables must be estimated from the image(s), each variable depending on the other. For example, the image denoising problem can be formulated using the framework of Mumford and Shah [12] (see also [2]): the two variables to be estimated are image edges and the denoised image, the idea being that to denoise the image one must have the edges (so as not to destroy the edges when smoothing), but edges can be detected reliably only when one has the denoised image. The estimate of one variable depends on the other, but both variables are unknown, and the estimation should be setup as a joint estimation problem where the variables are estimated simultaneously. Some other examples of joint estimation problems are segmentation and denoising [18, 20], 3D reconstruction [9], tomography [14], image registration [19], and optical flow [17].

Despite the more than 25 year history of Mumford-Shah problems, the problem remains a challenge to this day: the high dimensional nature of the problem implies most methods are sensitive to initialization and lock into undesirable estimates, they are computationally expensive, and further the implementation of existing methods are relatively sophisticated, making it difficult for a non-specialist to quickly implement.

In this paper, we address multi-label Mumford-Shah problems, in which labels (representing regions in the image) and functions must be estimated simultaneously. We wish to emphasize that our method applies to any multi-label Mumford-Shah problem, although our experiments are illustrated on joint segmentation and denoising, and motion segmentation. Our approach leads to a simple implementation, faster convergence, and a better estimation of the underlying variables, when compared to existing methods. Our method is less sensitive to initialization, and many times a random initialization is effective, making full automation a possibility, unlike existing methods which require hand initialization.

Our approach is based on a slightly different underlying statistical model than the original Mumford-Shah problem; nevertheless, our model has the same generative capabilities as the original statistical model. The advantage is that the proposed model leads to a decoupling of the variables to be estimated, and therefore the optimization problem becomes simpler. Further, the modified statistical model leads to better and easier-to-implement optimization algorithms in which the user is able to choose the degree of locality of label updates (from fully global to fully local).

1.1. Related Work

The original Mumford-Shah problem for joint edge detection and denoising was formulated in [12] (see also [2, 7]) and was setup as a variational problem. In the case that the edges in the image are restricted to be the boundaries of regions, forming a partitioning of the domain of the image, the problem was reformulated as a joint segmentation and denoising problem, and level set methods [13] have been employed to implement a gradient descent for
optimizing the functional [4, 20, 18]. Multiple regions are considered in [20] approaches by using logical combinations of multiple level sets. While the methods of [20, 18] were ground breaking in providing a numerical solution, the methods are sensitive to initialization and are usually trapped in undesirable local minima, and the problem is exacerbated with an increasing number of regions.

A more global approach to the two-label piecewise smooth Mumford-Shah functional has been considered in [8] using graph cuts [3] and it is shown to experimentally yield lower energy solutions and converge faster than [18]. Our work is based on the idea in [8] that one can provide better solutions to Mumford-Shah by looking at extensions of the functions into the entire domain of the image. We extend that work by first showing it comes from a different statistical model, and that the algorithm considered comes from an underlying energy that is being optimized. Further, we extend it to multiple labels, extend the algorithm to any desired locality of the label updates, and our algorithm does not rely on graph cuts. In [5], a discrete approach to [20] (multiple phases and a piecewise constant model) is implemented using advanced discrete optimization techniques. However, the case of a non-piecewise constant model was not considered in [5], which is of interest in this work.

Recently, convex optimization techniques have been applied to various problems in computer vision (e.g., [10]), the original Mumford-Shah problem of edge-detection and image denoising is no exception. While the Mumford-Shah functional is not convex, one can consider a relaxation, and optimize the relaxed functional. This approach is considered by [15, 16] where the functional is lifted to a higher dimensional space. The method does not apply to the case of multi-label piecewise smooth Mumford-Shah where a partitioning of the domain is desired.

2. Mathematical Formulation

The multi-label Mumford-Shah functional is in the form:

\[
E(\{R_i,f_i\}_{i=1}^N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{R_i} D(I(x), f_i(x)) \, dx + \alpha \text{Reg}(f_i) + \beta \text{Len}(\partial R_i),
\]

subject to the constraint that \( R_i \) are mutually exclusive and the union is the entire domain \( \Omega \) of the image. Here \( I : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^k \) is the image (with \( k \)-channels), \( f_i : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^k \) are approximations of the image in the regions \( R_i \), \( \partial R_i \) denotes the boundary of \( R_i \). Discrepancy of the data \( I(x) \) to \( f_i(x) \) is measured through \( D \) (e.g., \( D(I(x), f_i(x)) = |I(x) - f_i(x)|^2 \) for the original Mumford-Shah problem), \( \text{Reg}(\cdot) \) will impose spatial regularity of \( f_i \) in the region \( R_i \), one possible choice is

\[
\text{Reg}(f_i) = \int_{R_i} |\nabla f_i(x)|^2 \, dx.
\]

and the length penalty \( \text{Len}(\cdot) \) is to ensure spatial regularity of the region \( R_i \) (since \( \text{Len}(\partial R_i) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla 1_{R_i}(x)| \, dx \), where \( 1_{R_i} \) indicates the characteristic function of \( R_i \)).

2.1. Why is the Mumford-Shah Problem Difficult?

The fact that the Mumford-Shah problem is a high-dimensional (i.e., \( f_i \) and \( R_i \) are infinite dimensional) and the non-convexity of the space of variables on which the functional is defined implies that the energy has many local minima. For example, Figure 1 shows that even in the case of simple synthetic images, the Mumford-Shah functional has many local minima. The reason that these problems are difficult to optimize is due to the dependence of each of the variables in the optimization on the other. Indeed, to define a function \( f_i \), the region \( R_i \) must be known, but the region \( R_i \) is unknown. Similarly, if the functions \( f_i \) are known (i.e., the image reconstruction), then the discontinuities form the boundaries of the regions, and the regions can be defined, however the functions are unknown. Hence, there is a constraint that must be maintained between the function and the regions.

2.2. Generative Model

We wish to undue the dependence of the functions on the regions by considering a different underlying model for image formation. We illustrate the idea on the joint image denoising and segmentation problem, but it can be generalized in other Mumford-Shah (MS) problems. The underlying model in the original MS denoising/segmentation problem is

\[
I(x) = f_i(x) + \eta(x), \quad x \in R_i, \quad f_i : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^k
\]

\[
p(f_i | R_i) \propto \exp \left( -\alpha \int_{R_i} |\nabla f_i(x)|^2 \, dx \right)
\]
where \( \eta \) is a noise process, and the probability is the con-
ditional prior probability of the function \( f_i \). The generative
model implies that the regions \( R_i \) must be first chosen ac-
cording to a prior distribution, and the functions may then be
chosen according to a conditional distribution (conditioned on the region). In the proposed formulation, we wish to
remove this conditional dependence, while still maintaining
the ability to generate all the images that the original
model can generate. Our model is the following:

\[
I(x) = f_i(x) + \eta(x), \quad x \in R_i, \quad f_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k
\]

\[
p(f_i) \propto \exp \left( -\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f_i(x)|^2 \, dx \right)
\]

where we have defined the functions on the entire domain \( \Omega \)
(and defined the regularity on \( \Omega \)) rather than \( R_i \), and there-
fore, the prior probability of the functions is no longer condi-
tional on the regions. Hence to generate the image, the
sampling of the regions and functions are done indepen-
dently. The benefit of the proposed model is that in optimi-
ization, the regions can be updated more globally than in the
original model. The cost is a higher dimensional prob-
lem. However, the proposed formulation leads to a better
local optimum, and is even faster to converge than the tradi-
tional model as we show in experiments.

### 2.3. Optimization Algorithm

The proposed model leads to the following regularity
term in the energy:

\[
\text{Reg}(f_i) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f_i(x)|^2 \, dx
\]

rather than (2), and the other terms in the energy (1) remain
the same:

\[
E(\{R_i, f_i\}^N_{i=1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{R_i} D(I(x), f_i(x)) \, dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f_i(x)|^2 \, dx + \beta \text{Len}(\partial R_i)
\]

Since the conditional dependence of the function on the re-
gion is now removed, the update of the regions can be com-
puted given the functions in a more global fashion, and the
optimal update of the functions can be computed given the
regions. Convex partitioning (e.g., [10]) techniques can be
applied, but we wish to apply a simpler method (with global
updates) to ensure easy implementation.

Given estimates of the functions \( f_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \), we de-
scribe how to obtain optimal estimates for the regions \( R_i \).
Notice that, unlike the standard model, when optimizing in
\( R_i \) for a fixed \( f_i \), the second term in (8) can be ignored as
it does not depend on the region. For the moment, setting
\( \beta = 0 \), the globally optimal estimate is (given the functions
\( f_i \) are fixed)

\[
R_i = \{ x : i = \arg \min_j D(I(x), f_j(x)) \}.
\]

The above optimum does not take into account the length
term that implies spatial regularity of the regions, and thus
we show how to integrate that next. We first approximate
the length term with the following region integral:

\[
\int_{R_i} W_{R_i}(x) \, dx, \quad \text{where} \quad W_{R_i}(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma}(G_\sigma \ast 1_{R_i^c})(x),
\]

where \( G_\sigma \) indicates a Gaussian smoothing kernel of stan-
dard deviation \( \sigma \), and \( 1_{R_i^c} \) is the indicator function on \( R_i^c \).

It can be shown from the co-area formula and the Lebesgue
differentiation theorem that the integral above converges to
the length as \( \sigma \rightarrow 0 \). Therefore, when \( \beta > 0 \) we seek to
optimize

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{R_i} (D(I(x), f_i(x)) + \beta W_{R_i}(x)) \, dx.
\]

Note that we like to apply the same argument as before, and
write the optimal solution as a simple thresholding step as in
(9); however, part of the integrand depends on the region \( R_i \)
(when \( \beta \neq 0 \), which is unknown. Fortunately, if one has an
approximation of \( R_i \), \( R_i' \), then substituting \( W_{R_i} \), with \( W_{R_i'} \),
and optimizing (11) with respect to \( R_i \) yields

\[
R_i = \{ x : i = \arg \min_j D(I(x), f_j(x)) + \beta W_{R_i'}(x) \}.
\]

Notice this requires two parameters, \( \beta \) and \( \sigma \); if desired to
avoid an additional parameter, one can get similar results by
simply replacing \( D(I(x), f_j(x)) + \beta W_{R_i'}(x) \) with \( G_\sigma \ast
D(I(\cdot), f_j(\cdot)) \), i.e., enforce spatial regularity by smoothing
the data term. As an initial approximation, we choose \( R_i' \)
to be \( R_i \). The equation (12) can then be iterated with the
previous estimate replacing \( R_i' \). In practice, the iteration
is done only once, which yields an accurate segmentation,
as we show. As \( \sigma \rightarrow 0 \) and \( D = 0 \), the iterative scheme
was considered in [11] and approximates mean curvature
flow as shown in [1, 6], and thus minimizes the length of the
boundary of the regions. In our numerical scheme, we con-
sider a fixed \( \sigma \) and only one iteration, the effects of a
large number of iterations are attained by a larger \( \sigma \).

Also, given that regions \( R_i \) are fixed, we can compute a
global minimum for the \( f_i \) (in the case of a convex \( D \)). For
the case that \( D(x, y) = |x - y|^2 \), we have that

\[
- \alpha \Delta f_i(x) = (I(x) - f_i(x))1_{R_i}(x).
\]

We now have the following simple iterative algorithm to
obtain an approximate solution to the Mumford-Shah prob-
lem:
1. Initialize \( \{ R_i \}_{i=1}^N \)

2. Solve for the \( f_i \):

\[
\begin{align*}
-\alpha \Delta f_i(x) &= (I(x) - f_i(x))1_{R_i}(x) & x \in \text{int}(\Omega) \\
\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial N} &= 0 & x \in \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \partial \Omega \) denotes the normal to the boundary (Neumann boundary conditions), and \( \text{int}(\cdot) \) denotes interior. The equations can be solved efficiently with a conjugate gradient solver.

3. Compute \( R'_i \):

\[
R'_i = \{ x \in \Omega : i = \arg \min_j |I(x) - f_j(x)|^2 \},
\]

4. Update \( R_i \):

\[
R_i = \{ x \in \Omega : i = \arg \min_j F_j(x) \},
\]

where \( F_j(x) = |I(x) - f_j(x)|^2 + \beta W_{R'_i}(x) \).

5. Repeat 2-4 until \( R_i \) converges

3. From Global to More Local Updates

In the previous section, we have derived a more global optimization algorithm than traditional local approaches for optimizing Mumford-Shah-type problems. Our approach is not a fully global method, however, each update of the regions approximates a global optimum of the energy conditioned on the functions, and each update of the functions is a global optimizer conditioned on the regions. In many cases, a global approach yields undesirable estimates that capture undesired clutter (see Fig. 9). A local approach is less affected by clutter if the initialization is close to the desired solution, but is susceptible to local features (e.g., noise). In this section, we show that the algorithm derived in the previous section can be generalized to an algorithm that is a trade-off between the global updates and local updates of traditional methods, yielding advantages of both.

Suppose first that one has an initial estimate of the labels to be determined. Our global update of the regions given the current functions implies that a pixel far from a region can change to the same label as the far region. In contrast, in local approaches, only pixels in a region that border another region may switch to the bordering region. In order to optimize the underlying energy in a way that is not too global nor too local, we consider an algorithm in which a pixel of a region may switch to another region only if the pixel is sufficiently close to the region, the possibility of a switch decreasing with distance to the candidate region. The simple intuition above can be formalized mathematically as follows. Define a band of a region \( R_i \) as follows:

\[
B_r(R_i) = \{ x \in \Omega : 0 < d_{R_i}(x) < \varepsilon \}
\]

where \( d_{R_i} \) is the Euclidean distance map of \( R_i \), and then define the inverse prior likelihood of pixel switching from region \( R_i \), \( s_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \), as any non-decreasing function of the distance map that becomes infinite outside the band; the simple example we choose is

\[
s_i(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & x \in B_r(R_i) \cup R_i \\
+\infty & x \in \Omega \setminus (B_r(R_i) \cup R_i)
\end{cases}
\]  

(14)

For the moment, as in the previous section, we ignore the effects of the length regularization in the energy (\( \beta = 0 \)), then given the functions \( f_i \), an update of the regions that decreases the energy is

\[
R'_i = \{ x \in \Omega : i = \arg \min_j s_j(x)D(I(x), f_j(x)) \}.
\]  

(15)

Notice that the update does not increase the energy (when \( \beta = 0 \)) and the functions are fixed: suppose that a point \( x \in \Omega \) switches labels from \( i \) to \( j \), then it is clear that \( D(I(x), f_j(x)) \leq s_j(x)D(I(x), f_j(x)) < s_i(x)D(I(x), f_i(x)) = D(I(x), f_i(x)) \), hence the contribution of \( x \) to the energy is less than or equal before the switch so the energy is not increased. If there is no switch, then the contribution of \( x \) to the energy remains the same, not increasing the energy. As \( s_i(x) = +\infty \) for \( x \) that is sufficiently far from the region \( R_i \), it is clear that \( x \) cannot switch to label \( i \), thus more global transitions in the algorithm of the previous section are avoided. See Figure 2 for an illustration of the \( B_r(R_i) \) and transitions possible. To induce the effects of regularity (when \( \beta \neq 0 \)), the update derived in the previous section is applied:

\[
R_i = \{ x : i = \arg \min_j s_j(x)D(I(x), f_j(x)) + \beta W_{R'_i}(x) \}.
\]  

(16)
The functions $f_i$ are now updated using the exact same scheme as in the previous section (13), although $f_i$ need only be solved in $B_\varepsilon(R_i)$, which leads to a considerable speed increase.

It is clear that as $\varepsilon \to +\infty$, the algorithm defaults to the algorithm that yields a global update. In the case that $\varepsilon \to 0$, the possible points that can be updated are located only on the boundaries of the regions, where they can switch to an adjacent region. Therefore, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, the method defaults to region competition [21].

We now address the issue of initialization in the derived global-local tradeoff algorithm. We propose to use the above algorithm in a coarse-to-fine scheme. The parameter $\sigma$ is a scale parameter that controls the coarse-ness of the estimate achieved: larger $\sigma$ produces a coarse solution - with details smoothed out, and smaller $\sigma$ produces an estimate that is fine - and is effected by fine scale structures in the data. Our final estimation scheme is then:

1. Choose a random label for each $x \in \Omega$.
2. Choose $\varepsilon = +\infty$ and $\sigma$ large, and run the algorithm derived in this section.
3. Decrease $\sigma$ and $\varepsilon$, and run the algorithm again.
4. Repeat Step 3 until the regions have converged.

Figure 3 shows intermediate steps of the iterative procedure of the global-local tradeoff algorithm. Details of the particular steps of the algorithm (e.g., how to decrease $\sigma$) is given in the next section.

4. Experiments

In the first experiment, we test the performance of the proposed global algorithm described in Section 2.3 for solving Mumford-Shah-type problems. We start with a case where a fully non-parametric model for the functions $f_i$ are needed: segmentation of brain MRI into various sub-structures in the presence of a bias field. Tilts of the patient’s head in the MR machine causes gradients in the image, which makes sub-parts of the brain hard to recover without smooth, non-constant functions $f_i$. The data is obtained from BrainWeb, which has ground truth segmentations. We test our algorithm on various slices with varying degrees of bias, from 0 to 100% bias. We compare our algorithm to the current state-of-the-art in multi-label Mumford-Shah (segmentation and denoising) - multiphase Mumford-Shah (MPMS) using level sets [20]. In order to get a comprehensive picture of the performance of the algorithms, we test the algorithms over a large number of parameters that are for the region smoothness and the function regularization on different initializations. We use the same number of parameters for the common parameters used in all the methods. The number of labels is also given to the algorithms and it ranges from 4 to 8. The initializations chosen are 5 different random initializations (each pixel is assigned a random number between 1 and the number of labels), and another initialization where we simply quantize the image into the number of labels.

We wish to test both the accuracy of the new statistical model and the optimization scheme, and therefore, it is appropriate to measure the results with respect to closeness to the ground truth. Therefore, we measure accuracy of results with respect to ground truth in terms of the F-measure. The brain image contains 12 different labels, but the prominent labels that are used for clinical purposes are the gray and white matter, and the other labels are hard to capture without additional training data, which we avoid, since we would like to test performance of our new statistical formulation of Mumford-Shah-type problems. Thus, we measure accuracy only in terms of the gray and white matter. Results of this experiment are summarized in Figures 4, 5, 6. The optimal (in terms of F-measure) segmentation and reconstruction are presented for each method over all the parameters in Figure 4. In order to show that the full-non parametric functions $f_i$ are needed, we have included results for the case of constant functions based on the Chan-Vese model optimized using our algorithm (we refer to this as “ours CV”). The results indicate that multiphase Mumford-Shah (MPMS) level sets are extremely sensitive to initialization, yielding very inaccurate solutions for ran-
Figure 4. Results of MRI brain segmentation and reconstruction with varying bias field by three methods that are multiphase Mumford-Shah level sets, ours Chan-Vese, and ours Mumford-Shah using two different initializations that are random and equally quantized images. In each block of $5 \times 2$ images, segmentation is shown on the left and reconstruction on the right for the images with increasing level of bias field from top to bottom. From left to right, the image blocks show the ground truth, MPMS with random and equally quantized initialization, ours CV with random and equally quantized initialization, ours MS with random and equally quantized initialization. The results show high sensitivity of multiphase methods to initialization and local minima, while the proposed approach consistently and accurately recovers the desired segmentation.

Random initializations to fairly accurate solutions for the quantized initialization. The quality of MPMS degrades with increasing bias. The assumption of constant functions using our approach yields highly accurate results for small bias regardless of initialization, but quickly degrades with increasing bias. Our global approach to fully non-parametric Mumford-Shah yields the most accurate results and is stable to initialization and bias. Figure 5 summarizes the results over parameters measured in terms of F-measure. The graph shows that our method is the most accurate and remains accurate even with varying initialization. In fact, the median F-measure (over all parameters) is highest for the proposed method. The performance of MPMS is significantly worse than the proposed method, and the results vary drastically with respect to parameters.

The last graph of the first experiment (Figure 6) shows the algorithm speed of the proposed method (piecewise smooth) versus MPMS. Even though the functions must be computed on the whole domain using our approach, it is faster than MPMS in which the function computation is restricted to regions, even for a significantly large number of labels (8). Since, it is not true that the results are the same for both MPMS and the proposed approach, we compared times in the case of zero bias and quantized initialization, where both methods yield similar results, and therefore, it makes sense to compare speed.

In the second experiment we demonstrate the effect of the global-local tradeoff scheme in a multi-label segmentation problem. The proposed multi-label segmentation algorithms with both the global optimization scheme and the global-local tradeoff optimization scheme are performed on a number of natural scenery images. Since the objective of this experiment is to highlight the difference between the segmentations resulted by the global-local tradeoff scheme and the global scheme of our algorithm, a simple piecewise constant image model is assumed. The proposed global algorithm takes the region smoothness parameter $\sigma$ that is related to the feature scale of object and the number of labels $N$. In this experiment, we set $N = 4$ for all the test images. The global-local tradeoff algorithm is described in Section 3 and $\sigma$ is reduced by a factor of 2 and the band size is $\varepsilon = \text{ceil}(2\sigma)$ for Step 3 of the algorithm described in Section 3. The final $\sigma$ is chosen to be the same as the given $\sigma$ for the global-update algorithm (i.e., without band). Results of the two methods are presented in Figure 7 where the images to segment are shown on the top row, the segmentation results by the global algorithm on the middle row, and the segmentation results by the global-local tradeoff algorithm on the bottom row. Notice that the results with the global-local approach preserve the same level of detail as the ones with the global approach while discarding irrelevant clutter. For some cases it is observed that the global-local tradeoff approach yields less number of final labels than the result labels with the purely global approach, which happens when
the labels corresponding to insignificant clutter disappear at a coarse scale in the coarse-to-fine optimization procedure.

In the last experiment, we demonstrate our method on the task of motion segmentation where it is desired to segment the image into regions of smooth velocities. The energy is

$$E(v_i, R_i) = \sum_i \int_{R_i} |I_1(x + v_i(x)) - I_2(x)|^2 \, dx + \int_{R_i} |\nabla v_i(x)|^2 \, dx + \text{Len}(\partial R_i),$$

where $I_1$ and $I_2$ are images. We apply a coarse-to-fine scheme for fully global, fully local, and the global-local tradeoff algorithm (e.g., large $\sigma$ until convergence, and then $\sigma$ is lowered, etc...). All schemes have the same maximum and minimum $\sigma$. While fully global updates result in capturing clutter, and fully local captures irrelevant fine structures, the global/local tradeoff approach achieves an accurate solution. Our method also converges much quicker than both a fully global and fully local approach. The initialization is simply a quantization of the optical flow field using a standard optical flow method. The band size and the $\sigma$ are chosen with the same scheme described in the previous paragraph. Figure 8 shows some steps in the evolution, and the current region and the reconstructed velocity are shown at each step. Results for the final motion and segmentation on more data is given in Figure 9.

5. Conclusion

We have proposed a new statistical model for multi-label Mumford-Shah problems, slightly modify the standard one. This leads to new algorithms where the function updates can be done in many ways: from fully global updates to fully local updates (the user is free to choose). The algorithms are
The global-local tradeoff algorithm avoids clutter, and is less susceptible to irrelevant fine detail. It is easy to implement for a non-specialist, and yield results less susceptible to initialization and local irrelevant details than traditional approaches. A possible coarse-to-fine algorithm was derived that lends itself well to full automation. Experiments suggest that the proposed algorithm has increased the performance of traditional multi-label Mumford-Shah problems both in terms of accuracy and speed.
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