

Supplemental Material for “A Bayesian Approach to Multimodal Visual Dictionary Learning”

Go Irie[†], Dong Liu[‡], Zhenguo Li[‡], Shih-Fu Chang[‡]

[†] NTT Corporation, Kanagawa, Japan

[‡] Columbia University, New York, USA

[†]irie.go@lab.ntt.co.jp [‡]{dongliu, zgli, sfchang}@ee.columbia.edu

Derivation of Collapsed Gibbs Sampling

We discuss the derivation of collapsed Gibbs sampling distributions for our CD-BCC, (see Section 3.1 in the original paper). The joint distribution of our CD-BCC is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{z}^x, \mathbf{z}^w, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}, \pi, \kappa, \lambda; \gamma, \eta, \zeta, \Sigma_0, \Sigma_x, \beta, \phi) \\
 &= \left\{ p(\pi; \gamma/V) \prod_v p(\mu_v; \Sigma_0) \prod_i p(\omega_i | \pi) p(x_i | \mu_{\omega_i}; \Sigma_x) \right\} \\
 &\times \left\{ p(\kappa; \zeta/K) \prod_v p(z_v^x | \kappa) \right\} \left\{ p(\lambda; \eta/L) \prod_j p(z_j^w | \lambda) \right\} \\
 &\times \left\{ \prod_{k,l}^{K \times L} p(\theta_{k,l}; \beta, \phi) \prod_{v,j}^{V \times W} p(r_{v,j} | \theta_{z_v^x, z_j^w}) \right\} \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

The goal is to derive the sampling distributions Eq. (7-9) shown in Appendix.

Marginal Distribution

We first derive a marginal distribution $p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{z}^x, \mathbf{z}^w; \gamma, \eta, \zeta, \Sigma_0, \Sigma_x, \beta, \phi)$ by integrating out $\pi, \kappa, \lambda, \mu_v$, and $\theta_{k,l}$ in Eq. (1).

Integrating out π, κ , and λ : in Eq. (1), the part related to π is only $p(\pi; \gamma/V) \prod_i p(\omega_i | \pi)$. Because $p(\pi; \gamma/V)$ and $p(\omega_i | \pi)$ are Dirichlet and multinomial distributions respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int p(\pi; \gamma/V) \prod_i p(\omega_i | \pi) d\pi \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\prod_v \Gamma(\gamma/V)} \int \prod_v \pi^{\gamma/V-1} \prod_v \pi^{m_v} d\pi \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\prod_v \Gamma(\gamma/V)} \int \prod_v \pi^{m_v + \gamma/V-1} d\pi \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\prod_v \Gamma(\gamma/V)} \frac{\prod_v \Gamma(m_v + \gamma/V)}{\Gamma(N + \gamma)} \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, κ and λ can also be integrated out as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int p(\kappa; \zeta/K) \prod_v p(z_v^x | \kappa) d\kappa \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\zeta)}{\prod_k \Gamma(\zeta/K)} \frac{\prod_k \Gamma(m_k^x + \zeta/K)}{\Gamma(V + \zeta)} \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int p(\lambda; \eta/L) \prod_j p(z_j^w | \lambda) d\lambda \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\eta)}{\prod_l \Gamma(\eta/L)} \frac{\prod_l \Gamma(m_l^w + \eta/L)}{\Gamma(W + \eta)} \quad (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating out μ_v : the part related to μ_v is only $\prod_v p(\mu_v; \Sigma_0) \prod_i p(x_i | \mu_{\omega_i}; \Sigma_x)$. Considering that both of the distributions are Gaussian,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \prod_v \int p(\mu_v; \Sigma_0) \prod_i p(x_i | \mu_{\omega_i}; \Sigma_x) d\mu_v \\
 &= \prod_v C(\Sigma_0^{-1}) C(\Sigma_x^{-1})^{m_v} \\
 &\times \int \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \mu_v^T \Sigma_0^{-1} \mu_v - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (x_i - \mu_{\omega_i})^T \Sigma_x^{-1} (x_i - \mu_{\omega_i}) \right] d\mu_v \\
 &= \prod_v C(\Sigma_0^{-1}) C(\Sigma_x^{-1})^{m_v} \\
 &\times \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{trace} (\Sigma_x^{-1} S_v^x - \Sigma_v \hat{\mu}_v \hat{\mu}_v^T) \right] \\
 &\times \int \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\mu_v - \bar{\mu}_v)^T \Sigma_v^{-1} (\mu_v - \bar{\mu}_v) \right] d\mu_v \\
 &= \prod_v \frac{C(\Sigma_0^{-1}) C(\Sigma_x^{-1})^{m_v}}{C(\Sigma_v^{-1})} \\
 &\times \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{trace} (\Sigma_x^{-1} S_v^x - \Sigma_v \hat{\mu}_v \hat{\mu}_v^T) \right] \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

where, $C(\Sigma^{-1}) \equiv (2\pi)^{-d/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2}$,
 $\bar{x}_v \equiv \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \text{ s.t. } \omega_i=v} x_i$, $S_v^x \equiv \sum_{i \text{ s.t. } \omega_i=v} x_i x_i^T$,
 $\hat{\mu}_v \equiv m_v \Sigma_x^{-1} \bar{x}_v$, $\bar{\mu}_v \equiv \Sigma_v \hat{\mu}_v$, and $\Sigma_v^{-1} \equiv \Sigma_0^{-1} + m_v \Sigma_x^{-1}$.
Integrating out $\theta_{k,l}$: the part related to $\theta_{k,l}$ is
 $\prod_{k,l}^{K \times L} p(\theta_{k,l}; \beta, \phi) \prod_{v,j}^{V \times W} p(r_{v,j} | \theta_{z_v^x, z_j^w})$. Considering
that $p(\theta_{k,l}; \beta, \phi)$ and $p(r_{v,j} | \theta_{z_v^x, z_j^w})$ are Gamma and Pois-
son distributions respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{k,l}^{K \times L} \int p(\theta_{k,l}; \beta, \phi) \prod_{v,j}^{V \times W} p(r_{v,j} | \theta_{z_v^x, z_j^w}) d\theta_{k,l} \\
&= \prod_{k,l}^{K \times L} \frac{R^{k,l} \phi^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int \left(\theta_{k,l}^{\beta-1} e^{-\theta_{k,l}/\phi} \right) \left(\theta_{k,l}^{m_{k,l}} e^{-n_{k,l} \theta_{k,l}} \right) d\theta_{k,l} \\
&= \prod_{k,l}^{K \times L} \frac{R^{k,l} \phi^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int \left(\theta_{k,l}^{m_{k,l} + \beta - 1} e^{-\frac{n_{k,l} \phi + 1}{\phi} \theta_{k,l}} \right) d\theta_{k,l} \\
&= \prod_{k,l}^{K \times L} \frac{R^{k,l} \phi^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \Gamma(m_{k,l} + \beta) \left(\frac{\phi}{n_{k,l} \phi + 1} \right)^{(m_{k,l} + \beta)} \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Based on the results above, the marginal distribution is
represented as

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{z}^x, \mathbf{z}^w; \gamma, \eta, \zeta, \Sigma_0, \Sigma_x, \beta, \phi) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\prod_v^V \Gamma(\gamma/V)} \frac{\prod_v^V \Gamma(m_v + \gamma/V)}{\Gamma(N + \gamma)} \\
&\times \prod_v^V \frac{C(\Sigma_0^{-1}) C(\Sigma_x^{-1})^{m_v}}{C(\Sigma_v^{-1})} \\
&\times \prod_v^V \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{trace} (\Sigma_x^{-1} S_v^x - \Sigma_v \hat{\mu}_v \hat{\mu}_v^T) \right] \\
&\times \frac{\Gamma(\zeta)}{\prod_k^K \Gamma(\zeta/K)} \frac{\prod_k^K \Gamma(m_k^x + \zeta/K)}{\Gamma(V + \zeta)} \\
&\times \frac{\Gamma(\eta)}{\prod_l^L \Gamma(\eta/L)} \frac{\prod_l^L \Gamma(m_l^w + \eta/L)}{\Gamma(W + \eta)} \\
&\times \prod_{k,l}^{K \times L} \frac{R^{k,l} \phi^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \Gamma(m_{k,l} + \beta) \left(\frac{\phi}{n_{k,l} \phi + 1} \right)^{(m_{k,l} + \beta)} \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Collapsed Gibbs Sampling

First, ignoring parts regarded to be constant in Eq. (7)

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{z}^x, \mathbf{z}^w; \gamma, \eta, \zeta, \Sigma_0, \Sigma_x, \beta, \phi) \\
&\propto \prod_v^V \Gamma(m_v + \gamma/V) \\
&\times \prod_v^V \frac{|\Sigma_v|^{1/2}}{|\Sigma_x|^{m_v/2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{trace} (\Sigma_x^{-1} S_v^x - \Sigma_v \hat{\mu}_v \hat{\mu}_v^T) \right] \\
&\times \prod_l^L \Gamma(m_l^w + \eta/L) \\
&\times \prod_k^K \Gamma(m_k^x + \zeta/K) \\
&\times \prod_{k,l}^{K \times L} R^{k,l} \Gamma(m_{k,l} + \beta) \left(\frac{\phi}{n_{k,l} \phi + 1} \right)^{(m_{k,l} + \beta)} \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

Based on this equation, we derive collapsed
Gibbs sampler for ω_i , z_v^x , and z_j^w . For
simplicity, we denote $p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{z}^x, \mathbf{z}^w) \equiv$
 $p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{z}^x, \mathbf{z}^w; \gamma, \eta, \zeta, \Sigma_0, \Sigma_x, \beta, \phi)$ hereafter.
Sampling for ω_i : Focusing on only i -th image descriptor
corresponds to only t -th Gaussian component,

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(\omega_i = t | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_{-i}, \mathbf{z}^x, \mathbf{z}^w) \\
&\propto p(\omega_i = t, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_{-i}, \mathbf{z}^x, \mathbf{z}^w) \\
&\propto \Gamma(m_t + \gamma/V) \\
&\times \frac{|\Sigma_t|^{1/2}}{|\Sigma_x|^{m_t/2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{trace} (\Sigma_x^{-1} S_t^x - \Sigma_t \hat{\mu}_t \hat{\mu}_t^T) \right] \\
&\times \prod_{k,l}^{K \times L} R^{k,l} \Gamma(m_{k,l} + \beta) \left(\frac{\phi}{n_{k,l} \phi + 1} \right)^{(m_{k,l} + \beta)} \\
&\propto (m_{t,-i} + \gamma/V) \\
&\times \frac{|\Sigma_t|^{1/2}}{|\Sigma_x|^{m_t/2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{trace} (\Sigma_x^{-1} S_t^x - \Sigma_t \hat{\mu}_t \hat{\mu}_t^T) \right] \\
&\times \prod_{k,l}^{K \times L} R^{k,l} \Gamma(m_{k,l} + \beta) \left(\frac{\phi}{n_{k,l} \phi + 1} \right)^{(m_{k,l} + \beta)} \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Sampling for z_v^x and z_j^w : Focusing on only v -th Gaussian

component assigned to a -th row cluster,

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(z_v^x = a | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{z}_{-v}^x, \mathbf{z}^w) \\
& \propto p(z_v^x = a, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{z}_{-v}^x, \mathbf{z}^w) \\
& \propto \Gamma(m_a^x + \zeta/K) \\
& \times \left\{ \prod_l^L R^{a,l} \Gamma(m_{a,l} + \beta) \left(\frac{\phi}{n_{a,l}\phi + 1} \right)^{(m_{a,l} + \beta)} \right\} \\
& \propto (m_{a,-v}^x + \zeta/K) \\
& \times \left\{ \prod_l^L R^{a,l} \Gamma(m_{a,l} + \beta) \left(\frac{\phi}{n_{a,l}\phi + 1} \right)^{(m_{a,l} + \beta)} \right\} \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(z_j^w = b | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{z}^x, \mathbf{z}_{-j}^w) \\
& \propto (m_{b,-j}^w + \eta/L) \\
& \times \left\{ \prod_k^K R^{k,b} \Gamma(m_{k,b} + \beta) \left(\frac{\phi}{n_{k,b}\phi + 1} \right)^{(m_{k,b} + \beta)} \right\} \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, Eq. (9), (10), and (11) are the sampling distributions shown in the original paper.

Derivation of Non-parametric Extension

We next discuss the derivation of the infinite version of CD-BCC in Section 3.3 in the original paper. In our CD-BCC, V and L are dimensions of two Dirichlet-multinomial conjugate pairs inside first and third curly brackets in Eq. (1) respectively. Let us consider a stochastic process $p(\omega_i | \omega_{1:i-1}; \gamma)$. Using multinomial $p(\omega_i = t | \pi)$ and a posterior $p(\pi | \omega_{1:i-1})$ (Dirichlet),

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\omega_i | \omega_{1:i-1}; \gamma) &= \int p(\omega_i = t | \pi) p(\pi | \omega_{1:i-1}) d\pi \\
&\propto \int \pi_t \left(\prod_t^V \pi_t^{m_t + \gamma/V - 1} \right) d\pi \\
&= \frac{m_t + \gamma/V}{\sum_v^V (m_v + \gamma/V)} \\
&= \frac{m_t + \gamma/V}{i - 1 + \gamma} \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

By taking its infinite limit $V \rightarrow \infty$,

$$p(\omega_i = t | \omega_{1:i-1}; \gamma) \propto \begin{cases} \frac{m_t}{i-1+\gamma} & (m_t > 0) \\ \frac{\gamma}{i-1+\gamma} & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

This is the same with Eq. (5) in the original paper. Eq. (6) can also be derived by the completely the same procedure.