

A Divide-and-conquer Method for Scalable Low-rank Latent Matrix Pursuit: Supplementary Material

Yan Pan, Hanjiang Lai, Cong Liu
Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China
{panyan5, laihanj, liucong3}@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Shuicheng Yan
National University of Singapore, Singapore
eleyans@nus.edu.sg

This supplementary material accompanies the paper ‘‘A Divide-and-Conquer Method for Scalable Low-rank Latent Matrix Pursuit’’. It provides the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in the main paper.

1. Proof of Theorem 1

To prove Theorem 1, we introduce a key lemma from [2].

Lemma 1. ([2], Theorem 2) *Let $L^*, S^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times m_2}$. Suppose that L^* is (μ, r) -coherent and that the support set of S^* is uniformly distributed among all sets with cardinality s . Then if $m_1 \leq m_2$ and $\|M - L^* - S^*\|_F \leq \Delta$, there is a constant c_p such that with probability at least $1 - c_p m_2^{-\beta}$, the minimizer (L', S') of*

$$\min_{L', S'} \|L'\|_* + \lambda \|S'\|_1 \text{ s.t. } \|M - L' - S'\|_F \leq \Delta \text{ with } \lambda = \frac{1}{m_2}$$

satisfies

$$\|L' - L^*\|_F^2 + \|S' - S^*\|_F^2 \leq c_\epsilon^2 m_1 m_2 \Delta^2,$$

provided that $r \leq p_r m_1 \mu^{-1} \log^{-2}(m_2)$ and $s \leq (1 - p_s \beta) m_1 m_2$ for $\beta \geq 2$ and some positive constants p_r, p_s, c_ϵ .

Next we start to prove Theorem 1.

Proof. We denote $(T, E^{(i)})$ as the minimizer of the general formulation of the LLMP problem ((11) in the main paper)

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{T, E^{(i)}} \|T\|_* + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \|E^{(i)}\|_1 \\ & \text{s.t. } \|T^{(i)} - T - E^{(i)}\|_F \leq \delta, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

and $(T_0, E_0^{(i)})$ as the ground truth of low-rank and sparse decomposition of $T^{(i)}$. By the assumptions, T_0 is (μ_0, r_0) -coherent and T is (μ_T, r_T) -coherent.

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we denote (T'_i, E'_i) as the minimizer of

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{T'_i, E'_i} \|T'_i\|_* + \lambda \|E'_i\|_1 \\ & \text{s.t. } \|T^{(i)} - T'_i - E'_i\|_F \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, by the assumptions in Theorem 1, we have $\|T^{(i)} - T_0 - E_0^{(i)}\|_F \leq \Delta$. In terms of Lemma 1 (by replacing $(L^*, S^*, L', S', \mu, r, s)$ with $(T_0, E_0^{(i)}, T'_i, E'_i, \mu_0, r_0, s_{0,i})$), we have $\|T'_i - T_0\|_F^2 + \|E'_i - E_0^{(i)}\|_F^2 \leq c_\epsilon^2 m_1 m_2 \Delta^2$ with probability at least $1 - c_p m_2^{-\beta}$.

Similarly, from the general form of the LLMP problem ((11) in the main paper), we have $\|T^{(i)} - T - E^{(i)}\|_F \leq \delta$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In terms of Lemma 1 (by replacing $(L^*, S^*, L', S', \mu, r, s)$ with $(T, E^{(i)}, T'_i, E'_i, \mu_T, r_T, s_{T,i})$), we have $\|T'_i - T\|_F^2 + \|E'_i - E^{(i)}\|_F^2 \leq c_\epsilon^2 m_1 m_2 \Delta^2$ with probability at least $1 - c_p m_2^{-\beta}$.

Denote A_i as the event that $\|T'_i - T_0\|_F^2 + \|E'_i - E_0^{(i)}\|_F^2 \leq c_\epsilon^2 m_1 m_2 \Delta^2$ and B_i as the event that $\|T'_i - T\|_F^2 + \|E'_i - E^{(i)}\|_F^2 \leq c_\epsilon^2 m_1 m_2 \Delta^2$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Then the joint probability of $\bigcap_{i=1,2,\dots,n}^{A_i, B_i}$ is at least $(1 - c_p m_2^{-\beta})^{2n} \geq 1 - 2n c_p m_2^{-\beta}$.

With $\|T'_i - T_0\|_F^2 + \|E'_i - E_0^{(i)}\|_F^2 \leq c_\epsilon^2 m_1 m_2 \Delta^2$ and $\|T'_i - T\|_F^2 + \|E'_i - E^{(i)}\|_F^2 \leq c_\epsilon^2 m_1 m_2 \Delta^2$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T - T_0\|_F^2 + \|E^{(i)} - E_0^{(i)}\|_F^2 \\ & \leq \|T'_i - T_0\|_F^2 + \|E'_i - E_0^{(i)}\|_F^2 \\ & \quad + \|T'_i - T\|_F^2 + \|E'_i - E^{(i)}\|_F^2 \\ & \leq 2c_\epsilon^2 m_1 m_2 \Delta^2, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the first inequality uses the triangle inequality.

Finally, by summing up (1) with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and then averaging by n , we have the desired result: $\|T - T_0\|_F^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|E^{(i)} - E_0^{(i)}\|_F^2 \leq 2c_\epsilon^2 m_1 m_2 \Delta^2$ with probability at least $1 - 2n c_p m_2^{-\beta}$. \square

2. Proofs of Theorem 2

The proof is identical to that of the second part of Theorem 12 in [1].

Proof. Remind that we define

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} T_S & T_A \\ T_B & T_C \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} T_S \\ T_B \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{C} = [T_S \quad T_A].$$

Correspondingly, we also define

$$\mathbf{T}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} T_{0,S} & T_{0,A} \\ T_{0,B} & T_{0,C} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{R}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} T_{0,S} \\ T_{0,B} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}_0 = [T_{0,S} \quad T_{0,A}], \tilde{\mathbf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} T_S & T_A \\ T_B & T_{0,C} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define K as the event $\|\tilde{\mathbf{T}} - \mathbf{T}\|_F \leq (1 + \epsilon)^2 \|T_0 - \tilde{T}\|_F$, and $A(X)$ as the event that a matrix X is $(\frac{r_0 \mu_0^2}{1 - \epsilon/2}, r_0)$ -coherent.

When K holds, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_0 - T\|_F \\ & \leq \|T_0 - \tilde{T}\|_F + \|T - \tilde{T}\|_F \\ & \leq (2 + 2\epsilon + \epsilon^2) \|T_0 - \tilde{T}\|_F \\ & \leq (2 + 3\epsilon) \|T_0 - \tilde{T}\|_F \\ & = (2 + 3\epsilon) \sqrt{\|T_{0,S} - T_S\|_F^2 + \|T_{0,A} - T_A\|_F^2 + \|T_{0,B} - T_B\|_F^2} \\ & = (2 + 3\epsilon) \sqrt{\|T_{0,S} - T_S\|_F^2 + 2\|T_{0,A} - T_A\|_F^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality uses the facts $T_{0,B} = -T_{0,A}^T$ and $T_B = -T_A^T$.

Since $k \geq cr_0 \mu_0 \log(m_2) \log(1/\delta) / \epsilon^2 \geq cr_0 \mu_0 \log(m_1) \log(1/\delta) / \epsilon^2$, by Lemma 4 in [1], we have that A_C and A_R hold with probability at least $1 - \delta/(2m_2)$ and $1 - \delta/(2m_2)$, respectively. By Corollary 7 in [1], the event K holds with probability at least $(1 - \delta/2)(0.8 - \delta/2)$.

Denote X^c as the complementary event of X . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & P(K \cap A_C \cap A_R) \geq 1 - P(K^c) - P(A_C^c) - P(A_R^c) \\ & \geq 1 - (1 - (1 - \delta/2)(0.8 - \delta/2)) - \delta/(2m_2) - \delta/(2m_2) \\ & \geq (1 - \delta/2)(0.8 - \delta/2) - \delta/2 \\ & \geq (1 - \delta)(0.8 - \delta) \end{aligned}$$

for all $m_2 \geq 2$ and $\delta \leq 0.8$. \square

References

- [1] L. Mackey, A. Talwalkar, and M. Jordan. Divide-and-Conquer Matrix Factorization. *arXiv:1107.0789*, 2011. **2**
- [2] Z. Zhou, X. Li, J. Wright, E. J. Candes, and Y. Ma. Stable principal component pursuit. In *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory Proceedings (ISIT)*, 1518-1522, 2010. **1**