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Abstract—Soar is a major exemplar of architectural approach to machine cognition with numerous applications including speech recognition, machine perception, robotic, and strategy planning. We have replaced language used in Soar architecture with our own developed XML based Unified Knowledge Manipulation Language (UKML) to demonstrate this language as a shared platform for procedural knowledge representation in cognitive architectures, and enhance Soar with some valuable new features including the ability of manipulating XML formatted factual knowledge, greatly improved code readability and organization, and elimination of some ambiguities of Soar traditional language. This paper is a report on this implementation of UKML, enriched with some examples and their results.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Background — Many researchers like us, are trying to propose an ultimate solution for machine cognition, resulting in a zoo of different machine cognition architectures like CLARION [21], ACT-R [14], and Soar [12], [15], to name a few, each proposed by some university or institution. According to Minsky [18] a single solution cannot be ultimate since it has its own weaknesses; but a society of agents, each able to solve a problem in its own way, will be the most effective solution for machine cognition. Our aim is to provide a unified language trough which all of the architectures that are been created so far and those are not created yet, become able to express their perceptions in a same way. Such an effort is nothing new as we will explain later, but our solution is. With such a solution at hand, agents across the world, each developed using a different architecture, can form a collaborative social environment to provided a “society of mind” like that dreamed by Minsky [17].

We build our proposed language based on three W3C specifications, namely, XPath [1], XQuery [2], and XSLT [10], which will be briefly reviewed in the next section. Symbolic cognitive architectures like those named earlier, can be equipped with this new language. Among them, Soar has put a few steps outside the laboratory toward the world of applications like strategy learning [3], visual imagery [13], emotion modeling [16], and speech analysis [20]. Similar to ACT-R, it was written in LISP first, but its code was rewritten entirely in C++ and was upgraded to a multi-agent, and service oriented system. It is also enhanced with XML based message passing. Therefore we chose Soar to be the first architecture to be enhanced with UKML as explained later in this paper.

History — The root of the majority of researches tended to provide a unifying approach to knowledge manipulation can be traced back to DARPA Knowledge Sharing Effort (KSE) initiated in 1990 [19]. This effort resulted into a few specification including “Knowledge Interchange Format” (KIF) [6], [7] and “Knowledge Query and Manipulation Language” (KQML) [4] which later used as a basis for FIPA-ACL, an Agent Communication Language proposed by Swiss based Foundation of Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) established in 1996. FIPA failed to archive noticeable support from industry and resolved into IEEE in 2005. But the story went completely different with World Wide Web Consortium (W3C). W3C adopted eXtensible Markup Language (XML) and related specifications are now ultimate knowledge representation solutions for a very large number of computer applications being developed today. These specifications not only provide everything that was desired by KSE and FIPA, namely, knowledge incorporation and agent inter-operation facilities, but also enjoy simple language grammar and naturalness in the context of the Internet and World Wide Web. Therefore, XML based specification provide a very good basis to develop a unified language for factual and procedural knowledge representation, agent inference, and inter-agent communication.

A recent publication can hardly be found about inference on knowledge represented based on XML technologies. [5], [8], and [9] are among those, but their utilization of XML is not as general as in UKML. Most XML oriented publications concern with knowledge representation rather than inference, as in Protégé [11], to name a successful one. In the other hand, most solution in the context of machine inference — like those named earlier — are not concerned with XML. The reason seems to be that most cognitive architectures we have
today are successors of projects initiated between 70s and 80s, thus maintaining many traditions from old days; while, XML wave is launched around 2000 and its main aim was to shift the paradigm of knowledge sharing in enterprise software market, rather than knowledge representation in intelligent cognitive systems. Our effort is to enhance both cognitive an enterprise technologies by joining them together.

Overview — After this introductory section, we will make a review on our base technologies in section 2. Next, in section 3, UKML is introduced and explained. In section 4, we show how we have replaced the traditional Soar language with UKML. In conclusion, a comparison is made and enhancements are outlined in section 5, followed by a few lines of acknowledgment.

II. BASE TECHNOLOGIES

An XML document or stream begins with an optional XML declaration followed by a root element which may contain other nested elements. Each element can have a set of attributes and parent other elements.

XPath — XPath is a query language used to find specified information in an XML stream. In its simplest form, it is like a UNIX path string. The following XPath statement, addresses all elements named “leaf” being a child of any “smallBranch” element that is a child of a “bigBranch” element that is a direct successor of the root element named “trunk”.

/\trunk/\bigBranch/\smallBranch/leaf

Value constraints and conditions can be tested for each element within braces after the name of that element. The following selects all “leaf” elements as explained above, which the value of the “name” attribute of its parent equals to “sb3”:

/\trunk/\bigBranch/\smallBranch[@name = ’sb3’] /leaf

One can also navigate in other direction rather than from parent to child, using axes, like:

/\trunk/\bigBranch/\smallBranch/following-sibling::@name != null]/leaf

The usage of XPath can be expanded to any semantic web as we will see in this paper.

XQuery — XQuery is a new developing standard that uses XPath to collect information from XML data. It is semantically similar to SQL. An XQuery consists of two main parts that perform selection and action. The selection part begins with for keyword and action part is marked with return keyword as the following example shows:

for $b in doc("papers.xml")//book
let $c := $b/author[@name = "Lucas"]
where count($c) > 2
return $b/title

Our solution to represent logical productions in UKML is very similar to XQuery in the sense that, first a selection mechanism is used to extracts every instance of information that matches our desired criteria and conditions. Meanwhile, some information is being bounded to some variables. Then, an action is being performed using value of the variables assigned in the selection part. In other words, an XQuery expression is identical to an if—then statement that is executed per each instance of information that matches its criteria. Thus, it can easily replace first-order-logic expressions used in most cognitive architectures.

XSLT — The purpose of XSLT is to generate an arbitrary document based on the information in some XML documents. Its job can be compared with XQuery in the sense that XSLT outputs something per every instance of information that matches the criteria defined in it. The difference is, XSLT is not as well structured as XQuery is, but is has a very considerable advantage. XSLT is a variant of XML itself. That means, from the view of knowledge engineering, XSLT is not only a procedural knowledge, but also can be considered and manipulated as factual knowledge by itself and other XML processing tools.

In summary, we would like to replace procedural knowledge representation languages with something like XQuery. As in XSLT, it is desired that our language be driven from XML, so that agent become able to manipulate their procedural knowledge at runtime, which is an ability that is not yet seen in many cognitive architectures. And, like both XSLT and XQuery, we use XPath to navigate within the knowledge base.

III. INTRODUCING UKML

Procedural knowledge in most architectures of our interest is defined as productions in the first-order-logic. Every production consists of two parts, namely, LHS and RHS. The left-hand-side or LHS describes a condition that when holds, the right-hand-side or RHS must be executed.

Like XSLT, UKML is a combination of XML and XPath. A proposed data type definition (DTD) for UKML is presented in the appendix. According to this DTD, the root element of UKML is a <production-list> element that contains a list of <production> elements. Just like XQuery, in which any query consists of selection and action parts, in UKML, each <production> element contains a <selection> and an <action> element; plus a preceding <comment> element for documentation. <selection> acts like for section of XQuery and serves as LHS part of a production; while <action> plays the role of return section of XQuery and works as RHS of the production.

A. Selection

<selection> element can contain a combination of <select> and <condition> elements. <select> element performs two basic operations desired in LHS. First it test for existence of a path with the desired conditions, then, if such a path exists, it bounds a variable to the desired location. If the desired path could not be found, LHS is failed and production will not be executed. Comparing with XQuery, <select> element is identical to let clause. The following is an example:

<select path="/operator[name = ’move-disk’ and disk = 1]/from" alias="$peg"/>

Just like XQuery, any identifier that begins with ‘$’ character is considered as a variable name. As seen in the example, we have made a small modification in XPath that does not harm. Since only a single root exists, we do not actually have to know its
name. Therefore, in our version of XPath, we do not mention
the name of the root element, instead, the slash ‘/’ character
itself is considered as the name of the root. When an identifier
is mentioned in condition without a comparison operator, it is a
test for existence. Absence is tested using hyphen ‘∼’ operator
before an identifier. The following shows an example:

<select path="/superstate and ˜name" alias="$s" />

The above line tests the root element, if it has a superstate but
does not have a name, the condition is satisfied and variable
bounding takes place. When it is desired to test a condition
without making a variable bounding, <condition> element
can be used. This element serves like where clause in XQuery:

<condition negative
test="$s/holds[above = $disk]" />

The presence of negative attribute in the above example
makes the effect of the condition to be inverted.
<condition> element can be nested inside each other to
make shorter, yet, more organized codes. Using XML as the
basis of UKML awards us with this new exiting feature that
cannot be seen in XQuery, Soar and other languages in this
way. Here is an example:

<select path="/holds[disk != 1]" alias="$h"
    <select path="disk" alias="$m-disk" />
    <select path="on" alias="$source-peg" />
</select>

In this case, the path of a child element is originated from
where the path of its parent points to.

B. Action

Like RHS of a production, <action> element handles
calculation, semantic graph modification and function calls.
An <action> element can contain a combination of <set>
and <exec> elements. <set> is provided to add or remove,
or modify a peace of path to or from working memory, like:

<set path="/name" value="towers-of-hanoi" />

The above line causes a new node called “name” to be added
to the selected state if it is not already there, and value “towers-
of-hanoi” be assigned to it. To remove a peace of path from
memory, one can use the remove attribute:

<set path="/out\move-disk" value="$md"
    remove />

Notice that, we modify paths rather than nodes in the semantic
web. When no more paths to a node exist, the node should be
removed automatically by the architecture.
<exec> element is provided to execute commands and
functions. The following is a Soar command without any
arguments that halts the execution of the inference loop:

<exec command="halt" />

In order to pass a single argument, one can use arg attribute
provided for <exec> element:

<exec command="write" arg="Hello World!" />

Alternatively, for one or more arguments, nested <arg>
elements can be used:

<exec command="write">
<arg><exec command="crlf"></arg>
<arg> Move disk </arg>
<arg>$size</arg>
<arg> to peg </arg>
<arg>$to_name</arg>
</exec>

The value of an <arg> element can be the execution result
of another command. In the above example crlf is a Soar
command that returns carriage-return and linefeed characters
consequently.

Both <set> and <exec> elements, support complex
arithmetical operations and function calls as their value and
arguments:

<set path="/result" value="sin($alpha) * (12 + $d) / 45.6" />

IV. UKML AND SOAR

As our first experiment, we have “hacked” UKML into the
Soar kernel. Soar is a symbolic cognitive architecture that
uses semantic network for factual knowledge representation,
and Rete network for procedural knowledge representation. Its
learning mechanism, like many others, is chunking.

In our modified version, when kernel is provided with a file
to parse, it automatically distinguishes between Soar traditional
language and UKML. If the code is written using the former,
the parsing stage takes place like before; but, when UKML
source code is provided, our parser becomes operational.
Taking advantage of XML parser and other facilities previously
provided in Soar project, we have developed a four-way bi-
lingual interpreter as shown in figure 1.

Fig. 1. Four-way UKML interpreter

The UKML source code is first parsed into the corre-
sponding document object model (DOM) with the help of an
XML parser. At the same time, XPath expressions are being
extracted and parsed into appropriate parse trees which serve
as a portion of DOM. Then this whole memory expressions
is translated into traditional Soar memory representation of
production and inserted into the Rete network maintained
inside the kernel memory. Having production inserted into
Rete network structure, Soar kernel can begin its inference
procedure. Interestingly, when we have translated a traditional Soar source code into UKML and fed it to the kernel, its execution footprint in the memory was as the same as the source traditional code itself.

Our interpreter, not only reads UKML instructions into Soar memory, but also works in other ways. For example, it can also investigate the kernel memory and generate the corresponding UKML DOM representation.

A traditional Soar source code is mentioned here followed by its translation in UKML:

```xml
<production name="towers-of-hanoi\*propose\*move-disk"
  state="<s> 
  "name towers-of-hanoi"
  "<state> 
  "<s> 
  "<o-disk> > <m-disk> <o-disk>" 
  "< selects > 
  "<i> last-disk-moved 1"
  "<i> disk [ <m-disk> > 1 ]
  "on <source-peg>"
  "<i> disk [ <o-disk> > <m-disk> ]
  "on <target-peg>"
  -->
  "<o> operator <o>"
  "<o> name move-disk
  "<disk <m-disk>
  "from <source-peg>
  "to <target-peg>"
  <action>
  "<select path="/operator" value="$o" />
  "<select path="/name" value="move-disk" />
  "<select path="/disk" value="5m-disk" />
  "<select path="/from" value="$source-peg" />
  "<select path="/to" value="$target-peg" />
  </action>
</production>
```

The above code is so hard to understand that in order to become assured of an exact translation, we were forced to investigate the changes in kernel memory after this production was interpreted by Soar kernel. The big problem here is ambiguity of comparison operators that are in-fixed sometimes but sometimes they are pre-fixed. Also it is not obvious that when and where variable bindings are taking place as they are badly merged within ambiguous comparison operations. Thanks to UKML, now we have a truly readable production:

```xml
<production name="towers-of-hanoi\*propose\*move-disk">
  <comment>
    Upper disk on the target peg is larger.
  </comment>
  <selection>
    <select path="/name = 'towers-of-hanoi' 
      and last-disk-moved = 1"
      alias="$a" />
    <select path="/upper-disk[value != 1 
      and value < $o-disk]"
      alias="$m-disk" />
    <select path="/upper-disk[value != $m-disk]"
      alias="$o-disk" />
    <select path="/holds" alias="$h" />
    <select path="/holds[value != $h]"
      alias="$i" />
    <select path="/disk = $m-disk]/on"
      alias="$source-peg" />
    <select path="/disk = $o-disk]/on"
      alias="$target-peg" />
  </selection>
  <action>
    <set path="/operator" value="$o" />
    <set path="/name" value="move-disk" />
    <set path="/disk" value="5m-disk" />
    <set path="/from" value="$source-peg" />
    <set path="/to" value="$target-peg" />
  </action>
</production>
```

It is obvious that the above code is significantly enhanced in the sense of readability. Plus, it can be now be parsed by any agent or program that is equipped with XML parser, and, can be understood by the other agents and systems that support UKML.

Any "state" node in Soar working memory is considered as a root element and can be addressed by '//' in the beginning of an XPath expression. We should mention a few points about this mapping mechanism here. First, Soar architecture forces a state node to be tested as the very beginning of a production as happened in the above example; but as it may not be necessary in other architectures; this action is optional in UKML. If programmer omits to test a state, we automatically insert one in the interspersion process. Second, there cannot be more than one root when we use XPath to navigate in a semantic web, but in Soar often more than one state node exist. It actually does not make any problem because in each production, we begin from a single state. In other words, one initial state hence a unique root per production exits.

V. Conclusions

Introducing UKML, we have introduced a new usage for the ever spreading XML and related technologies. This new language adds a new and more general application to XPath. Here, XPath is used to navigate within a semantic network rather than an XML document. The ability to test presence or absence of a node is also a new feature added to XPath.

In comparison with XQuery, as UKML is represented in XML itself, it can also be manipulated and modified as factual knowledge. This provides us with a reflective facility to generate and modify UKML codes at runtime. Nested selection is also a feature of UKML that cannot be seen in XQuery in this way.

Having replaced the Soar traditional language with UKML, we have seen a great improvement in code readability and organization in that architecture. Also we have showed that UMKL is completely practical and applicable.

We hope UKML becomes developed into a unified platform for any cognitive architecture that is concerned with Boolean logic. As the result, the world model of many types of intelligent agents can be shared, and a problem can be solved more effectively in a society of agents with different capabilities, rather than by a single one.
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APPENDIX

APPENDIX — UKML DTD

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" ?>

<!ELEMENT set ( pref* ) >
<!ATTLIST preference referent CDATA #IMPLIED >
<!ATTLIST preference type CDATA #REQUIRED >
<!ELEMENT preference EMPTY >
<!ATTLIST set remove CDATA #IMPLIED >
<!ATTLIST set path CDATA #REQUIRED >
<!ATTLIST set value CDATA #REQUIRED >
<!ELEMENT arg ( #PCDATA | exec )* >
<!ATTLIST exec arg CDATA #IMPLIED >
<!ATTLIST exec command NMTOKEN #REQUIRED >
<!ELEMENT exec ( arg* ) >
<!ATTLIST exec command NMTOKEN #REQUIRED >
<!ATTLIST set path CDATA #REQUIRED >
<!ATTLIST set preference CDATA #IMPLIED >
<!ATTLIST set remove CDATA #IMPLIED >
<!ELEMENT condition EMPTY >
<!ATTLIST condition test CDATA #REQUIRED >
<!ELEMENT condition EMPTY >
<!ATTLIST condition negative CDATA #IMPLIED >
<!ELEMENT select ( select | condition )* >
<!ATTLIST select path CDATA #REQUIRED >
<!ELEMENT select ( select | condition )* >
<!ATTLIST select alias CDATA #REQUIRED >
<!ATTLIST select path CDATA #REQUIRED >
<!ELEMENT comment ( #PCDATA ) >
<!ATTLIST production name CDATA #REQUIRED >
<!ELEMENT production ( comment?, selection, action ) >
<!ELEMENT production-list ( production | import )* >
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