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Abstract—Dielectric-elastomer minimum-energy structures (DEMES) form an emerging class of soft robotic systems. The appropriate materials and methods for rapidly fabricating DEMES prototypes are described. A DEMES component suitable for use in highly-deformable soft robots is presented and analyzed. Combinations of this component into snake-like robots are also presented. Calculations for determining the upper limit of a DEMES’s mechanical work output, electromechanical efficiency, and energy density are described. The scope of the DEMES design-space and future research paths are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that a dielectric elastomer film with stretchable surface electrodes—a dielectric elastomer actuator (DEA)—experiences an electrostatic pressure on its surfaces when an appropriate voltage (typically on the order of kV’s) is applied across the film’s thickness [1]. This pressure causes the film to contract in the thickness direction and expand its area. When stretched across a rigid frame, simple DEAs have demonstrated areal strains greater than 380% [2], energy densities as high as 3.4 MJ/m$^3$ [3], and maximum efficiencies up to 90% [4]. DEAs are of particular interest as electromechanical transducers because the transduction element is extremely pliant and highly extensible when compared to most other transducer technologies [5], [6]. As a result, DEAs are able to operate in systems that are entirely soft; moreover, DEAs have the ability to function as actively and passively deformable systems capable of large-scale changes in conformation (e.g. shape-shifting robots). Realizing this ability requires careful consideration of how the dielectric elastomer film interfaces with the other actuator components.

Most all DEAs capable of undergoing large strains (>10%) and producing useful mechanical work do so by pretensioning the dielectric elastomer film against a spring element [7]–[9]. This process stores elastic energy in the spring that will be released when the film is activated. Unfortunately, the inherent soft characteristics of DEAs are underutilized because of the techniques typically used to hold the film in tension. Except in certain cases (e.g. when the spring is a fluid under pressure [10]), the spring element generally cannot be considered soft; in situations where it can, the supporting frames used to hold the film in its pretensioned state are often constructed from a set of rigid spars that limit the possible conformations of the system [11].

In contrast, dielectric-elastomer minimum-energy structures (DEMES) are a subclass of DEAs where the spring element is an inextensible, pliant planar frame [12]. Since there are no rigid elements, DEMES are able to undergo large passive deformations in addition to actively changing shape. They are particularly interesting because not only do DEMES provide an actuation mechanism, but they can also function as the main structural elements in a soft robotic system.

![Fig. 1: Various inextensible, pliant planar frames adhered to 500% isotropically stretched elastomer films. The films are F9473PC VHB transfer tape from 3M and the frames are cut from 76.2 µm thick ABS sheets. The object on the left of each 3D structure represents the original planar conformation of the frame. The bottommost object contains six of the triangular frames linked together along their edges.](image-url)
To fabricate DEMES, a dielectric elastomer film is stretched and adhered to an inextensible, pliant frame. Because the frame can bend but not stretch, the transfer of elastic energy from the film to the frame causes the entire system to buckle. Figure 1 shows several examples of the myriad shapes that can be formed using only a simple planar frame and a isotropically stretched elastomer film. The final resting conformation of the film-frame system is a local or global minimum energy state [13]. By shifting the minimum energy state, DEMES achieve large-scale conformation changes. In order to generate this shift, the balance of stored energy in the system must be tipped by either adding or removing elastic energy from the system or changing the elastic properties of the components. The method for shifting minimum energy states in DEMES is applying electrostatic pressure to the film’s surfaces. This adds elastic energy to the film and results in the release of bending energy stored in the frame; in the limit, the frame returns to its original (typically planar) conformation.

The work presented herein is broadly intended to demonstrate the potential of DEMES to function as soft robotic systems and more specifically to present material choices, fabrication methods and analysis techniques for rapidly prototyping and evaluating DEMES design concepts. Our ultimate goal is to explore the DEMES design space and choose the structures with the greatest potential for actuation and shape-shifting. Unfortunately, even for the most basic DEMES, predicting the parameters that produce the desired rest and actuated conformations is not straightforward; nor is it a simple task to calculate the actuation metrics: electromechanical efficiency, energy density, and bandwidth. In parallel to this work, we are developing a dynamic particle spring mesh model that predicts the 3D conformation of DEMES given an initial frame geometry and film stretch.

In this paper we first focus on the choice of materials and a method for rapidly fabricating DEMES prototypes respectively in §II and §III. Next we explain our experiments with the most basic DEMES design as well as experiments with a DEMES unit cell and present examples of how this unit cell can be incorporated into more complicated snake-like DEMES systems in §IV. We present a definition of the mechanical work output, the electromechanical efficiency, and the energy density for DEMES in §V. Finally we conclude with a look ahead at the future of DEMES indicating the scope of the design space and capacity of DEMES to function as soft robotic systems in §VI.

II. MATERIALS SELECTION

There have been many advances in DEA materials since the start of the field in the late 1990’s [14]–[17]. Here we are focused on shortening fabrication time rather than manufacturing long-lasting, optimized systems; we forgo using the state-of-the-art and instead opt for off-the-shelf materials wherever possible.

A. Dielectric elastomer film

For the dielectric elastomer film we use F9473PC VHB transfer tape (0.26 mm thick) from 3M.* From our experience, VHB transfer tape is desirable for use in prototyping because of its ability to achieve high active strains, accept large stretches during manufacturing, and reliably produce actuation without failure. It is not appropriate for long-term use because VHB films suffer from stress relaxation and other issues that cause the actuation capabilities of the DEMES to degrade over several days and to eventually fail from dielectric breakdown. Though the precise mechanisms leading to this failure are not well understood at this time, we speculate that it is due in part to the relaxation of the polymer chains eliminating the stretch-induced enhancement in dielectric breakdown strength [18], [19]. Additionally, the VHB polymer network may, over time, swell with silicone oil and carbon particles from the carbon-grease electrodes (discussed below) increasing the likelihood of an electric pathway through the film.

B. Stretchable electrodes

For the stretchable electrodes we use conductive carbon grease from MG Chemicals.† The main advantage of the carbon grease is the ease of application. For the scale of DEMES discussed in this paper, the application time for the electrodes is on the order of five minutes. Short application times are important because we need to minimize the time the film is exposed to the air in order to prevent dust and debris from settling on the film surface and remaining between the dielectric film and the electrode (as will be discussed later). Though the carbon grease is easily applied, it remains wet after application. This creates a host of practical issues for fabricating and testing all but the simplest DEMES prototypes; the electrodes are prone to smearing which is generally messy, limits the ability to handle the devices, and most importantly, quickly lead to short circuits. Attempts at encapsulation were successful, but proved cumbersome and unreliable. Figure 2 shows a simple DEMES with carbon grease electrodes encapsulated in 0.05 mm thick F9460PC VHB transfer tape.

It is clear that even though carbon grease is appropriate for the simple DEMES designs presented throughout this paper, when fabricating more elaborate designs with multiple DEMES linked together (e.g the snake-like robots described in §IV) different techniques for the application and encapsulation of the stretchable electrodes are necessary. One technique we have been experimenting with is the use of sputter-coated copper electrodes. Applying these thin-film metals after stretching the elastomer limits the failure mode of the electrodes to out-of-plane buckling. At this time, we have not successfully determined the system parameters (i.e. film and frame stiffness, electrode layer thickness, etc.) that will produce a functional DEMES with solid-state electrodes.

* 3M, 3M Center, St. Paul, MN 55144, USA.
† MG Chemicals Ltd, 9347 193 St., Surrey, BC V4N 4E7, Canada.
C. Inextensible pliant frame

For the frame we use 76.2 µm thick sheets of ABS plastic purchased from the McMaster-Carr Supply Company.\(^\ddagger\) Though the yield strength of the ABS is not high enough to ensure robust systems immune to plastic deformation, the bending stiffness is well-matched to the stretching stiffness of the F9473PC VHB, the ABS sheets are easily cut with a laser-cutting system, and the ABS readily adheres to unstretched VHB transfer tape.

III. FABRICATION PROCEDURE

The main steps of our DEMES fabrication process are illustrated in Figure 3. To start, we construct the frame from a sheet of ABS plastic. A 50 µm layer of VHB transfer tape (F9460PC) adhered to the ABS sheet promotes bonding between the frame and the stretched VHB film. The frame is cut using a VersaLASER VLS 2.30 CO\(_2\) laser-cutting system from Universal Laser Systems.\(^\S\) An additional layer of ABS is adhered in certain frame regions to make these areas stiffer and affect the final DEMES conformation. Magnet wires soldered to copper pads are glued to the frame to act as electrical leads. Figure 4 shows a complete frame.

Next we stretch the film. An alignment grid is deposited on a piece of unstretched VHB film, as shown in Figure 5, to indicate the degree and direction of stretch. The VersaLASER is used to cut the alignment-grid mask from a piece of the VHB tape backing: 58 lb polycoated Kraft paper liner. Polycoated paper does not adversely affect the VHB film surface; it is ideal for situations when interfacing with the film surface is required. Carbon grease is applied through the mask with a Superfine Microbrush from Microbrush International.\(^\¶\) The carbon grease is effective in our situation not only because its effects on the film are negligible; more importantly, it was chosen because the artifacts of the carbon-grease alignment grid are completely incorporated into the carbon-grease electrodes. When using a different stretchable electrode material, it should also be used for the alignment grid, if this is practicable.

The VHB film is stretched by hand across a circular stretching frame in small radial increments, a number of which are shown in Figure 6. The film will adhere to

\(^\ddagger\)McMaster-Carr Supply Company, 200 Aurora Industrial Pkwy., Aurora, OH 44202, USA.

\(^\S\)Universal Laser Systems, VersaLASER Division, 7845 E. Paradise Lane, Scottsdale, AZ 85260, USA.

\(^\¶\)Microbrush International, 1376 Cheyenne Avenue, Grafton, WI 53024, USA.
Fig. 6: The VHB film at various points in the stretching process. The upper leftmost image shows the VHB film with the paper liner still attached. The bottom rightmost image shows the final stretched film at six-times stretch.

Fig. 7: These photographs demonstrate the placement of the film support and frame on the stretched film’s surface. The film support decreases the film area needed to hold the DEMES in tension during the fabrication process. Note that the frame shown is atypical in that it does not have any electrical lead wires.

the stretching frame within limits: VHB loses its adhesive properties both as it is handled and as it is stretched. The degree and direction of the stretch is monitored by comparing the deformed alignment grid to a transformed reference grid. For uniaxial, biaxial, and radial stretches (which provide constant stretch fields), this hand-stretching method is not the most effective; stretching apparatuses have been constructed for such tasks [11], [20], [21]. These devices increase the overall accuracy, precision, and repeatability of the stretching process; however, the process described above is still the most effective for arbitrary, non-constant stretch fields.

A film support is attached to the areas of the film that will not be used, as shown in Figure 7a. These regions are important because they hold the DEMES in tension for the remainder of the fabrication process. Using a film support dramatically lowers the failure rate by decreasing the film area necessary to hold the DEMES in tension; preventing tears in the tensioned film from propagating; and allowing the DEMES to be released from the tensioned film without a parasitic build-up of VHB around the frame edge. The film support is cut with the VersaLaser from a sheet of VHB F9460PC with the polycoated Kraft paper liner still attached. The frame is adhered on the remaining exposed section of the stretched film not covered by the film support, as shown in Figure 7b. An electrical lead wire soldered to a copper pad is glued to the film on the underside of the frame using Loctite 422, a quick-setting cyanoacrylate adhesive. The carbon-grease electrodes are generously painted on both sides of the stretched film with a Superfine Microbrush. Thin traces are painted onto the frame to connect the copper pads and the stretchable electrodes. A sharp knife is used to release the DEMES by cutting between the outside edge of the frame and the inside edge of the film support.

It is important to note that maintaining a clean atmosphere, minimizing the film’s overall air-exposure time, and being vigilant when interfacing with the film’s surface are paramount. Any dust, debris, or defects on the film’s surface will lead to stress and charge concentration at that point causing the system to fail from either mechanical or dielectric breakdown, respectively.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Theoretically, the simplest DEMES is the shell actuator described and analyzed (but never fabricated) by Kofod et al. [13] and consisting of a uniaxially stretched dielectric elastomer film made to span an inextensible pliant sheet such that the structure buckles into part of a cylinder. Upon actuation, the film is expected to extend its length and the frame to return to its planar state. The film width is assumed to remain constant during stretching (pure shear), the frame cross-section is approximated as the arc of a circle for small deflections, and the film is assumed to remain planar at all times; therefore, the stretching, bending, and electric energy contributions of the film, frame, and electrodes respectively can be determined analytically. Moreover, the geometric relationship between the film and frame is known a priori.

Unfortunately, attempts at realizing and actuating Kofod’s theoretical structure proved unsuccessful for a number of reasons. First, Kofod’s pure shear assumption does not hold in practice, especially at the stretches (> 4) typically required for actuation. Second, even for high aspect ratio cylinders, where the length along the cylindrical axis is much greater than the diameter and the pure shear assumption is more appropriate, the art of fabrication proved to be awkward and cumbersome. Lastly, when a dielectric elastomer film is uniaxially stretched it tends to actuate in the direction perpendicular to the stretch direction [1], and thus even successfully fabricated shell actuators did not actuate as expected: It is more energetically favorable for the film to expand in the width direction, than for the film to extend further in its stretched direction, allowing the frame to release its stored bending energy and bringing the system to its planar state.

As a note, we are not asserting that it is theoretically impossible to fabricate the shell actuator, but rather that difficulties and limitations in choosing the appropriate design parameters and in developing an efficient fabrication procedure outweigh the benefits of the simplified analytic analysis;

1Henkel Consumer Adhesives, Inc., One Henkel Way, Rocky Hill, CT 06067, USA.
moreover, our aim is to develop techniques and analyses that extend to a broad range of DEMES. To this end, we utilize the fabrication technique described in §III and construct a DEMES that mimicks the desirable actuation properties of the shell actuator as seen in Figure 8. We refer to this device as the DEMES Unit Cell because of its ability to be joined together to form more complicated DEMES structures. The DEMES Unit Cell exceeds the theorized capabilities of the shell actuator because it actuates from half of a cylinder to nearly flat without a spanning film across the interior region of the device (i.e. the device interior remains empty and free to carry a payload).

Figure 8 shows two views of the DEMES Unit Cell in its rest and actuated states. The VHB film in the DEMES Unit Cell is isotropically stretched to six times its length and width for a 36-times increase in film area. The length and width of the frame are respectively 40 and 18 mm; the width of the frame edges are 2.5 mm. The blocked force of one half of the DEMES Unit Cell obtained by fixing the center and measuring the force at one of the rectangular tabs is 8 mN as recorded with a CS200 Compact Scale from Ohaus.†† Without any additional electronic elements, the actuation and relaxation times are 0.1 s and 4 s, respectively; the operation bandwidth (i.e. the frequency of cycling between the rest state and the actuated state) is 0.25 Hz.

The potential of the DEMES Unit Cell to function as both an actuator and a shape-shifting structural network is illustrated by two robotic systems comprised solely of DEMES Unit Cells. The DEMES Ring shown in Figure 9 is comprised of two DEMES Unit Cells. The DEMES Ring experiences a 1.3-times decrease in height and a 1.8-times increase in length upon application of 1.2 kV. Connecting several DEMES Rings at the joints will result in a multi-segmented robot capable of inchworm-like motion.

The DEMES Serpentine shown in Figure 10 is constructed from five DEMES Unit Cells and contains ten individually controllable active regions (two per cell). It experiences a 1.7-times increase in length and a 1.4-times decrease in height upon application of 1.2 kV to all ten segments.

V. ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES

In the previous section experimental results for the blocked force, response time, and actuated strains were presented for the DEMES Unit Cell. Here we explicate a method for estimating the mechanical work, the electromechanical efficiency, and the energy density of a DEMES and find these values for the DEMES Unit Cell.

First, consider the three elements that store and release energy in any DEMES system: the dielectric elastomer film; the inextensible pliant frame; and the stretchable electrodes. Stretching energy is added to the film and transferred to the frame as bending energy during fabrication. Upon actuation (i.e. application of a voltage) electric energy is added to the stretchable electrodes and promptly transferred to the film as additional stretching energy. In properly tuned systems, the areal expansion of the film leads to the release of the bending energy stored in the frame. Because the film’s areal expansion does not interact with the world directly, the only useful mechanical work output from the DEMES must come from the bending energy released by the frame; therefore, the upper limit on the mechanical work from a DEMES is the total bending energy stored in the frame during fabrication.

---

** Spellman High Voltage Corporation, 475 Wireless Blvd., Hauppauge, NY 11788, USA.
†† Ohaus Corporation, 19A Chapin Rd., P.O. Box 2033, Pine Brook, NJ 07058, USA.
Despite generally being outside of the small deflection regime, as an approximation of this stored bending energy, we follow Timoshenko’s [22] calculation of the strain energy in a plate subject to pure bending. We discretize the DEMES frame and consider the principal curvatures for each small region. In the coordinates of the principal planes of curvature, the twisting moments are exactly zero and we can write the bending energy for each element $U_i$ as

$$U_i = \frac{1}{2}DA_i \left( \Delta \kappa_{1,i}^2 + \Delta \kappa_{2,i}^2 + 2\nu\Delta \kappa_{1,i}\Delta \kappa_{2,i} \right) \quad (1)$$

where $D = Eh^3/12\left(1 - \nu^2\right)$ is the flexural rigidity, $E$ is the modulus of elasticity, $h$ is the thickness, $\nu$ is the Poisson’s ratio, $A_i$ is the elemental area, and $\Delta \kappa_{n,i}$ is the change in curvature of the $i$th element along the principle direction $n$. The sum of these energies over all $m$ of the DEMES frame elements provides an estimate to the maximum mechanical work $W_{\text{max}}$ that the DEMES can produce:

$$W_{\text{max}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} U_i \quad (2)$$

It is straightforward then to calculate the maximum energy density as the mechanical work divided by either the mass or volume of the DEMES.

Since the only mechanical energy out is the bending energy and the only input energy is the electric energy on the compliant capacitor, we define the maximum electromechanical efficiency as

$$\eta_{\text{max}} = \frac{W_{\text{max}}}{U_{\text{cap}}} \quad (3)$$

where $U_{\text{cap}} = \frac{1}{2}C\Phi^2$ is the electric energy stored on the stretchable capacitor, $C$ is the capacitance, and $\Phi$ is the applied voltage. Here we must assume a uniform charge density on the electrodes, a homogeneous electric field between the electrodes, and the electrodes to be parallel.

Our eventual goal is to obtain discretized curvature information from 3D scans of a DEMES Unit Cell in order to empirically determine the stored bending energy in the frame.

As a first order approximation we consider a rectangular frame that bends into the arc of a circle (i.e. has a constant radius of curvature). The outer dimensions and frame-edge width of the rectangular frame are taken to be the length, width, and frame-edge width of the DEMES Unit Cell. These along with the other parameters used in the calculations are provided in Table I. Assuming that the rectangular frame has a radius of curvature of 15 mm in the rest state and becomes flat when actuated, the stored bending energy, or the upper limit on the mechanical work output, is $6.65 \times 10^{-2}$ mJ. The stored energy on the capacitor is $2.40 \times 10^{-1}$ mJ, so the maximum electromechanical efficiency is 28%. Assuming that the thickness of the stretchable electrodes makes the film thickness comparable with the frame thickness, the volume of the actuator is $54.9$ mm$^3$ and the maximum energy density is $1.21$ kJ/m$^3$ or, by mass, $0.95$ mJ/g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value (measured)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$l$</td>
<td>40 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w$</td>
<td>18 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_e$</td>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>76.2 $\mu$m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>265 mm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>54.9 mm$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>70 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>2.3 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>0.333 nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi$</td>
<td>1.2 kV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we presented materials and methods for rapidly fabricating DEMES. Using these, we fabricated and analyzed a DEMES Unit Cell and two robotic systems comprised of multiple cells. A method for determining the upper limit of the mechanical work output along with the corresponding energy density and electromechanical efficiency was also presented.

Now we would like to point to future DEMES research.
As mentioned, we are working on a dynamic particle spring mesh model to help predict the minimum energy states. This software will enable evaluation of DEMES designs without the need to devise a fabrication method. Not limited by what is easy to fabricate, we can begin to think about each of the elements in a DEMES and how they can be made to actively change; anything that changes the balance of stored energy in the system will result in a conformation change. In this paper we limited ourselves to initial planar states, only changing the geometry of the film, and homogenous frame properties. More complicated structures could be formed by incorporating non-planar initial structures, frames and films with spatially-varying mechanical-properties, and frames with active regions. Joining together various DEMES along their edges allows even more complicated structures to be formed. We are just scratching the surface of the DEMES design space. Moreover, the capacity for DEMES to function as shape-shifting robots is widely unexplored. We hope that this paper will do its part to spur interest in the field of soft mechanical engineering and to convince researchers of the value and richness of systems, like DEMES, whose conformation is defined by elastic energy storage and transfer between system components.
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