

# On Positioned Solution of Linear Programming with Grey Parameters

Sifeng Liu Yaoguo Dang  
 Institute for Grey Systems Studies  
 Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics  
 Nanjing 210016, China  
 e-mail: sfliu@nuaa.edu.cn

Jeffrey Forrest  
 Int. Institute for General Systems Studies  
 Slippery Rock University  
 Slippery Rock, PA 16057, USA  
 e-mail: Jeffrey.forrest@sru.edu

**Abstract**—To surmount the difficulty in solving the problem of linear programming with grey parameters (LPGP), a series of new conceptions such as the positioned linear programming, the ideal model, the critical model, and some other new ideas are put forward. The problem of how the optimum solution is affected by the variation of positioned coefficients and the range of the variation of the optimum positioned values are studied. The satisfying solution for the positioned programming and the satisfying degree of the solution were defined. Thus, the LPGP problem will be converted into several problems of ordinary linear programming. The difficulty in solving the LPGP problem and how to appraise the solution have been surmounted to a certain degree.

**Keywords**—the LPGP, positioned programming, satisfying solution

## I. INTRODUCTION

The so-called programming mainly studies under certain constraints how to guarantee the objective of achieving the possible optimum. In these problems, if the constraint condition and objective function is linear, the problems are called linear programming problems. Linear programming is one of the most important branches in operations research, which developed early and matured fast with a wide range of practical applications. When grey numbers appear in either the programming model or the constraint of a linear programming model, it is called a linear programming with grey parameters (LPGP).

The LPGP problem is put forward first by Professor Julong Deng who with Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China [1]. He studied the grey drafting linear programming, the grey linear programming of prediction type[2,3]. The confidence degree solution of grey linear programming[4,5] and the cover solution of grey linear programming[6] were studied in recent years. In this paper, we put forward some new thinking to solving the LPGP problem. The work presented in [7,8,9] have been developed.

## II. THE LPGP PROBLEM AND ITS POSITIONED PROGRAMMING

**Definition 2.1** Assume that

$$X = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T$$

$$C(\otimes) = [c_1(\otimes), c_2(\otimes), \dots, c_n(\otimes)]$$

$$b(\otimes) = [b_1(\otimes), b_2(\otimes), \dots, b_m(\otimes)]^T$$

$$A(\otimes) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}(\otimes) & a_{12}(\otimes) & \dots & a_{1n}(\otimes) \\ a_{21}(\otimes) & a_{22}(\otimes) & \dots & a_{2n}(\otimes) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{m1}(\otimes) & a_{m2}(\otimes) & \dots & a_{mn}(\otimes) \end{bmatrix}$$

where,  $c_j(\otimes) \in [\underline{c}_j, \bar{c}_j], \underline{c}_j \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;

$b_i(\otimes) \in [\underline{b}_i, \bar{b}_i], \underline{b}_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ;

$a_{ij}(\otimes) \in [\underline{a}_{ij}, \bar{a}_{ij}], \underline{a}_{ij} \geq 0; i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

Then

$$\max S = C(\otimes)X$$

$$\text{s.t.} \begin{cases} A(\otimes)X \leq b(\otimes) \\ X \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

is called a problem of linear programming with grey parameters (LPGP), and  $C(\otimes)$  a grey price vector,  $A(\otimes)$  a grey consumption matrix,  $b(\otimes)$  a grey constraints vector for resource, and  $X$  the decision vector of the LPGP.

As a matter of fact,  $X$  is a grey vector as well.

**Definition 2.2** Suppose that

$$\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij} \in [0, 1], i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

and let the white values of grey parameters be, respectively, as follows

$$\tilde{c}_j(\otimes) = \rho_j \bar{c}_j + (1 - \rho_j) \underline{c}_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\tilde{b}_i(\otimes) = \beta_i \bar{b}_i + (1 - \beta_i) \underline{b}_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$\tilde{a}_{ij}(\otimes) = \delta_{ij} \bar{a}_{ij} + (1 - \delta_{ij}) \underline{a}_{ij}; i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where  $\tilde{C}(\otimes)$ ,  $\tilde{b}(\otimes)$ ,  $\tilde{A}(\otimes)$  are, respectively, the whitenization vector of price, constraints for resources, and the whitenization matrix of consumption. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \max S &= \tilde{C}(\otimes)X \\ \text{s.t. } &\begin{cases} \tilde{A}(\otimes)X \leq \tilde{b}(\otimes) \\ X \geq 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

is called a positioned programming of the LPGP; and  $\rho_j (j=1,2,\dots,n)$  the positioned coefficients of price vector,  $\beta_i (i=1,2,\dots,m)$  the positioned coefficients of constraint vector for resources, and  $\delta_{ij} (i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$  the positioned coefficients of consumption.

In Definition 2.2,  $\rho_j$  is a reflection of price fluctuation of the  $j$ th product. It can be determined by using a market analysis. Less  $\rho_j$  reflects a lower expected price of the  $j$ th product, and larger  $\rho_j$  reflects a higher expected price of the  $j$ th product.

The coefficient  $\beta_i$  is a reflection of market supplies of the  $i$ th resource. Less  $\beta_i$  expresses short supply of the  $i$ th resource, and larger  $\beta_i$  expresses sufficient supply of the  $i$ th resource.

Similarly, less  $\delta_{ij}$  expresses lower consumption of the  $i$ th resource to produce an unit of the  $j$ th product, and larger  $\delta_{ij}$  expresses higher consumption of the  $i$ th resource to produce the same unit of the  $j$ th product.

**Proposition 2.1.** The optimal value maxS of the positioned programming of a LPGP is a function with  $m+n+mn$  variables of  $\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij} (i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$ .

From the Proposition 2.1, the optimal value maxS of the positioned programming can be marked as follows,

$$\max S = f((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$$

Similarly, the positioned programming can be marked as follows,

$$\text{LP}((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$$

For the sake of convenience, we first make the following assumptions.

**Assumption 1.**  $\text{rank}(\tilde{A}(\otimes)) = m < n$ .

**Assumption 2.** The set composed of the feasible solution of

$$\text{LP}((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$$

is non-empty.

**Assumption 3.** The set  $\{X | \tilde{A}(\otimes)X \leq \tilde{b}(\otimes), X \geq 0\}$  composed of real vectors is bounded. At the same time, the positioned programming

$$((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$$

can be rewritten into the following form,

$$\max S = [\tilde{C}_B(\otimes), \tilde{C}_N(\otimes)] \begin{bmatrix} X_B \\ X_N \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \begin{cases} [\tilde{B}(\otimes), \tilde{N}(\otimes)] \begin{bmatrix} X_B \\ X_N \end{bmatrix} \leq \tilde{b}(\otimes) \\ X_B \geq 0, X_N \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

That is, the first  $m$  columns of the whitening matrix of consumption  $\tilde{A}(\otimes)$  are the basis matrix  $\tilde{B}(\otimes)$ ; the last  $n-m$  columns are the non-basis matrix  $\tilde{N}(\otimes)$ . The basis vectors and non-basis vectors corresponding to  $\tilde{B}(\otimes)$  and  $\tilde{N}(\otimes)$  can be written, respectively, as  $X_B, X_N$ . The whitening vectors of price corresponding to  $X_B, X_N$  can be written, respectively, as  $\tilde{C}_B(\otimes), \tilde{C}_N(\otimes)$ . From assumption 3, and noticing the fact that  $X_N = 0$ , it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} X &= [X_B, X_N]^T = [\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes), 0]^T \\ S &= \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) \end{aligned}$$

and the test vector is

$$r = \tilde{C}(\otimes) - \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{A}(\otimes).$$

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose that the positioned programming of (3) satisfies the above assumption 1,2,and 3, and

$$X = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T$$

is the basic solution of the positioned programming of (3). Then,

$$\{x_j | j=1,2,\dots,n\}$$

is bounded.

**Proposition 2.3.** There is at least one basic feasible solution of the positioned programming

$$\text{LP}((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$$

which satisfies the assumption 1,2,and 3.

### III. THEOREMS ON POSITIONED SOLUTIONS OF LPGP

The LPGP is also called grey drifting linear programming. In reality, a problem of LPGP is a set composed of some ordinary problems of linear programming.

In the following proof, we suppose that the whitening vectors and the whitening matrix, given in the following

$$\max S = [\tilde{C}_B(\otimes), \tilde{C}_N(\otimes)] \begin{bmatrix} X_B \\ X_N \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \begin{cases} [\tilde{B}(\otimes), \tilde{N}(\otimes)] \begin{bmatrix} X_B \\ X_N \end{bmatrix} \leq \tilde{b}(\otimes) \\ X_B \geq 0, X_N \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

still keep the property of non-negativity.

**Theorem 3.1.** For a positioned programming of a LPGP, when the positioned coefficients of the price vector satisfy

$$\rho_j \leq \rho'_j; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max S &= f((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \\ &\leq f((\rho'_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n) = \max S' \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Because  $\rho_j \leq \rho'_j; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\tilde{C}(\otimes) \leq \tilde{C}'(\otimes)$$

Suppose that  $\tilde{C}'(\otimes) = \tilde{C}(\otimes) + \Delta\tilde{C}(\otimes)$  and  $\Delta\tilde{C}(\otimes) \geq 0$ .

There are now the following two cases. Without loss of generality, we assume

that  $\tilde{B}(\otimes)$  is the optimal basis of

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{LP}((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \\ (1) \quad &\tilde{C}'(\otimes) - \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{A}(\otimes) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here, the optimal basis  $\tilde{B}(\otimes)$  of the corresponding positioned programming doesn't change, nor does the optimum solution

$$X = [\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes), 0]^T.$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \max S' &= \tilde{C}'_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) \\ &= \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) + \Delta\tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) \\ &\geq \max S \\ (2) \quad &\tilde{C}'(\otimes) - \tilde{C}'_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{A}(\otimes) \text{ don't } \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the test number  $r'_k(\otimes) > 0$ , and that  $\tilde{B}(\otimes)$  is not the optimal basis of the positioned programming

$$\text{LP}((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

Moreover, suppose that we use the simplex method to work out its optimal basis  $\tilde{B}_1(\otimes)$  and its optimal solution

$$[\tilde{B}_1^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes), 0]^T$$

Notice that  $[\tilde{B}_1^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes), 0]^T$  is the feasible basic solution of the positioned programming

$$\text{LP}((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

it is readily to have

$$\begin{aligned} \max S' &= \tilde{C}'_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) \geq \tilde{C}'(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) \\ &= [\tilde{C}(\otimes) + \Delta\tilde{C}(\otimes)]\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) \\ &= \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) + \Delta\tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) \\ &\geq \max S \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** For a positioned programming of a LPGP, when the positioned coefficients of restriction vectors for resource satisfy the following

$$\beta_i \leq \beta'_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max S &= f((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \\ &\leq f((\rho_j, \beta'_i, \delta_{ij}) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \\ &= \max S' \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** From  $\beta_i \leq \beta'_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , we know that

$$\tilde{b}(\otimes) \leq \tilde{b}'(\otimes).$$

Suppose that  $\tilde{b}'(\otimes) = b(\otimes) + \Delta\tilde{b}(\otimes), \quad \Delta\tilde{b}(\otimes) \geq 0$ ,

then we have

$$\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}'(\otimes) = \tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) + \tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\Delta\tilde{b}(\otimes)$$

Here,  $\tilde{B}(\otimes)$  is the optimal basis of

$$\text{LP}((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

(1) Assume that  $\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\Delta\tilde{b}(\otimes) \geq 0$ , then

$$\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}'(\otimes) = \tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) + \tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\Delta\tilde{b}(\otimes) \geq 0$$

Hence,  $\tilde{B}(\otimes)$  is still the optimal basis of the positioned programming

$$\text{LP}((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \max S' &= \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}'(\otimes) \\ &= \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) + \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\Delta\tilde{b}(\otimes) \\ &= \max S + \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\Delta\tilde{b}(\otimes) \\ &\geq \max S \end{aligned}$$

(2) Assume that  $\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\Delta\tilde{b}(\otimes) \text{ don't } \geq 0$

Suppose that there is a  $k, \quad \Delta x_k < 0$ . Now we discuss the situation in two cases as follows

①  $x'_k = x_k + \Delta x_k \geq 0$ . Then  $\tilde{B}(\otimes)$  is still the optimal basis of the positioned programming

$$\text{LP}((\rho_j, \beta'_i, \delta_{ij}) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

But the optimal solution of  $\text{LP}((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ .

is a feasible basic solution of

$$\text{LP}((\rho_j, \beta'_i, \delta_{ij}) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\max S = \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) \leq \max S'$$

②  $x'_k = x_k + \Delta x_k < 0$ . Now  $[\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes), 0]^T$  is not the feasible basic solution of

$$LP((\rho_j, \beta'_i, \delta'_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$$

But  $\tilde{B}(\otimes)$  is a regular basis. By using the dual simplex method, we can obtain the optimal basis  $\tilde{B}_1(\otimes)$  and the optimal solution  $X' = [\tilde{B}_1^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}'(\otimes), 0]^T$ . And, noticing that the optimal solution of  $LP((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$  is a feasible basic solution of  $LP((\rho_j, \beta'_i, \delta'_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$  we have

$$\max S = \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) \leq \max S'$$

**Theorem 3.3.** For a positioned programming  $LP((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$  of an LPGP, when the positioned coefficients of consumption satisfy the following

$$\delta_{ij} \geq \delta'_{ij}, \quad i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max S &= f((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n) \\ &\leq f((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta'_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n) \\ &= \max S' \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** From that  $\delta_{ij} \geq \delta'_{ij}$ ,  $i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n$ , it follows that

$$\tilde{A}(\otimes) \geq \tilde{A}'(\otimes) \geq 0$$

Assume the  $k$ th column satisfies that

$$\tilde{P}_k(\otimes) \geq \tilde{P}'_k(\otimes)$$

(1)  $\tilde{P}_k(\otimes)$  is not a basis vector.

When  $\tilde{P}_k(\otimes)$  is changed to  $\tilde{P}'_k(\otimes)$ , the basis  $\tilde{B}(\otimes)$  does not changed.

However, the test number

$$r'_k = \tilde{C}_k(\otimes) + \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{P}'_k(\otimes)$$

may have been changed.

① If  $r'_k \leq 0$ , then the optimal solution of

$$LP((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$$

is still the optimal solution of

$$LP((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta'_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n).$$

And, the optimal value doesn't changed. So,

$$\max S = \max S'$$

② If  $r'_k > 0$ , then  $x'_k$  which corresponds to  $\tilde{P}'_k(\otimes)$  will become a basis variable. We can obtain the optimal solution

$$X' = [\tilde{B}_1^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes), 0]^T \text{ of}$$

$$LP((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta'_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$$

by using the simplex algorithm.

Noticing that  $[\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes), 0]^T$  is the feasible basic solution of

$$LP((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta'_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max S &= \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{B_1}(\otimes)\tilde{B}_1^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) = \max S' \end{aligned}$$

(2)  $\tilde{P}_k(\otimes)$  is a basis vector.

When  $\tilde{P}_k(\otimes)$  is changed to  $\tilde{P}'_k(\otimes)$ , whether the basis of

$$LP((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$$

is a basis of  $LP((\rho_j, \beta_i, \delta'_{ij}) | i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n)$  or not, the optimal solution of the former is a feasible basic solution of the latter. But  $X' = [\tilde{B}_1^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes), 0]^T$  is the optimal solution of the latter, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \max S &= \tilde{C}_B(\otimes)\tilde{B}^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{B_1}(\otimes)\tilde{B}_1^{-1}(\otimes)\tilde{b}(\otimes) = \max S' \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we know that the optimal value of a positioned programming is an increasing function about the positioned coefficients  $\rho_j$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,n$ ) of the price vector and the positioned coefficients of the constraint vector, and a decreasing function about the positioned coefficients  $\delta_{ij}$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,m; j=1,2,\dots,n$ ) of consumption.

**Definition 3.1.** Assume that  $\forall i=1,2,\dots,m$  and  $j=1,2,\dots,n$ , we have

$$\rho_j = \rho, \quad \beta_j = \beta, \quad \delta_{ij} = \delta$$

Then, the corresponding positioned programming is called a  $(\rho, \beta, \delta)$ -positioned programming. It is written as  $LP(\rho, \beta, \delta)$ . Its optimal value is denoted  $\max S(\rho, \beta, \delta)$ , called the  $(\rho, \beta, \delta)$ -positioned optimal value.

**Theorem 3.4.** For a positioned programming  $LP(\rho, \beta, \delta)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1^0 &\text{ When } \rho = \rho_0, \beta = \beta_0, \delta_1 \leq \delta_2, \\ &\max S(\rho_0, \beta_0, \delta_1) \geq \max S(\rho_0, \beta_0, \delta_2) \\ 2^0 &\text{ When } \rho_1 \leq \rho_2, \beta = \beta_0, \delta = \delta_0, \\ &\max S(\rho_1, \beta_0, \delta_0) \leq \max S(\rho_2, \beta_0, \delta_0) \\ 3^0 &\text{ When } \rho = \rho_0, \beta_1 \leq \beta_2, \delta = \delta_0, \\ &\max S(\rho_0, \beta_1, \delta_0) \leq \max S(\rho_0, \beta_2, \delta_0) \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\rho$  reflects the general price level of  $n$  kinds of products;  $\beta$  reflects the general supplying state of  $m$  kinds of resources; and  $\delta$  is a collective reflection of the level of manufacturing technique, the quantity of the labor force, and managerial level applied in production.

#### IV. SATISFYING SOLUTIONS OF GREY LINEAR PROGRAMMING

In this section, we study grey linear programming problems such that not necessarily the optimal solutions but satisfying solutions can be practically reached.

**Definition 4.1.** When  $\rho = \beta = 1, \delta = 0$ , the corresponding positioned programming LP(1, 1, 0) is called an ideal model of the LPGP. Its optimal value is written as  $\max \bar{S}$ . The ideal model stands for an ideal condition such that the highest prices of its products, the most sufficient resource supply, the most developed manufacturing technique, and the quality of labor force and managerial level are all at their optimal states. In fact, only a few firms can potentially come up to the ideal state.

**Definition 4.2.** When  $\rho = \beta = 0, \delta = 1$ , the corresponding positioned programming LP(0, 0, 1) is called a critical model of the LPGP. Its optimal value is written as  $\max \underline{S}$ .

The critical model stands for a condition such that the lowest prices, the shortest resource supply, the less-developed manufacturing technique, and the lowest quantity of labor force and managerial level are employed. With such a condition in place, the firm is at the edge of bankruptcy. The only choice for the firm to take is to change its products, to improve its production techniques, to find alternative resources, and to reeducate its managers and workers all around.

**Definition 4.3.** When  $\rho = \beta = \delta = \theta$ , the corresponding positioned programming is called a  $\theta$ -positioned programming. It is written as LP( $\theta$ ).

Similarly, its optimal value is written as  $\max S(\theta)$ , which is called the  $\theta$ -positioned optimal value.

Especially when  $\theta = 0.5$ , the corresponding  $\theta$ -positioned programming LP(0.5) is called the mean whitenization programming. Generally, the mean whitenization programming is the most typical one for LPGP.

**Theorem 4.1.**  $\forall \rho, \beta, \delta \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$(1) \max \underline{S} \leq \max S(\rho, \beta, \delta) \leq \max \bar{S};$$

$$(2) \max \underline{S} \leq \max S(\theta) \leq \max \bar{S}.$$

**Proof:** We prove (1). only. The second statement is left to the reader to prove.

Because  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ,

from Theorem 3.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \max \underline{S} &= \max S(0, 0, 1) \leq \max S(\rho, 0, 1) \\ &\leq \max S(\rho, \beta, 1) \leq \max S(\rho, \beta, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that  $\max \bar{S} \geq \max S(\rho, \beta, \delta)$ .

**Definition 4.4.** For the given  $\rho, \beta, \delta \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\rho, \beta, \delta) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\max \underline{S}}{\max S(\rho, \beta, \delta)} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\max S(\rho, \beta, \delta)}{\max \bar{S}} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

is called the satisfying degree of the positioned programming LP( $\rho, \beta, \delta$ ).

The satisfying degree of LP( $\rho, \beta, \delta$ ) reflects the relationship among the positioned optimal value  $\max S(\rho, \beta, \delta)$ , the optimal value  $\max \underline{S}$  of its critical model, and the optimal value  $\max \bar{S}$  of the ideal model. The nearer  $\max S(\rho, \beta, \delta)$  approaches  $\max \bar{S}$ , the bigger  $\mu(\rho, \beta, \delta)$  is; the nearer  $\max S(\rho, \beta, \delta)$  approaches  $\max \underline{S}$ , the smaller  $\mu(\rho, \beta, \delta)$  is.

Similarly, we can define the concept of satisfying degree of  $\mu(\theta)$  for  $\theta$ -positioned programming LP( $\theta$ ).

**Proposition 4.1.**  $\forall \rho, \beta, \delta \in [0, 1]$ , we have that

$$0 \leq \mu(\rho, \beta, \delta) \leq 1$$

**Definition 4.5.** Given a grey target  $D = [\mu_0, 1]$ , if  $\mu(\rho, \beta, \delta) \in D$ , then the corresponding optimal solution is called the satisfying solution of the LPGP.

#### V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Grey parameters often included in linear programming problems. As a result of lack information, the price vector, the consumption matrix, and the constraints vector for resource in linear programming problems all with some uncertainty. In the process of research on solving the LPGP problem, many people have probed from different angles. In this paper, we go further into the positioned solution of LPGP. By positioning an LPGP problem first, we can solve the LPGP problem by solve several ordinary linear programming problems.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was partially supported by the key project of National Social Science Foundation of China (No.08AJY024), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.70473037 and 70701017), the Foundation for Doctoral Programs (200802870020) and the Foundation for Humanities and Social Sciences (No.JA630039) of the Chinese National Ministry of Education, the Key Program of Soft Science Foundation (No.BR2008081), the Foundation for Humanities and Social Sciences(No. 07EYA017) of Jiangsu Province. At the same time, the authors would like to acknowledge the partial support of the Science Fund for distinguished Professor of NUAA and Jiangsu Province

(No.1009-316011), and acknowledgements are given to Science Fund for outstanding scientific and technical group of NUAA and Jiangsu Province (No.Y0553-091).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Deng Julong. Grey Control System. Wuhan: Huazhong University of Science and Technology Press,1985
- [2] Deng Julong. "Grey Linear Programming", in Grey System. Beijing: China Ocean Press, 1988, pp.130-138
- [3] Deng Julong. Theory of Grey System. Wuhan: Huazhong University of Science and Technology Press,1990
- [4] Wenping Wang. Study on grey linear programming. Journal of Grey Systems, v 9, n 1, 1997, p 41-6
- [5] Xinping Xiao. New study on the solution of grey linear programming. Journal of Grey System, v 10, n 2, 1998, p 133-9
- [6] Qiaoxing Li. The cover solution of grey linear programming. Journal of Grey System, v 19, n 4, 2007, p 309-20
- [7] Liu Sifeng, Dang Yaoguo. On LPGP Drifting and the degree of Satisfaction of the Positioned Solution. J. Huazhong Univ. of Sci. & Tach, v 25, n 1, 1997, pp. 28-31
- [8] Liu Sifeng, Lin Yi. Grey Information: Theory and Practical Applications. London: Springer-Verlag London Ltd, 2006
- [9] Liu Sifeng, Xie Naiming. Grey System Theory and Application (forth edition).Beijing: Science Press, 2008.

#### About the authors

**Sifeng Liu** is a distinguished professor of College Economics and Management, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics and the founding president of the Institute for Grey Systems Studies, the president of the Grey Systems Society of China, the Chair of IEEE TC on Grey Systems.

Dr. Liu's main scientific research activities are in grey systems theory and econometrics. He has directed projects of national, provincial or ministry level and international co-operation projects more than 50, published over 180 research papers and 16 works. Over the years, he has been awarded 12 provincial and national prizes for his outstanding achievements in scientific research and applications and selected as a scholar and expert with outstanding contributions of China, a distinguished professor of China.

**Yaoguo Dang** is a professor of College Economics and Management, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics and a vice president of the Institute for Grey Systems Studies, a vice president of the Grey Systems Society of China.

**Jeffrey Forrest** is a professor of Mathematics Department, Slippery Rock University and the founding president of the International Institute for General Systems Studies, the Co-Chair of IEEE TC on Grey Systems.

#### Corresponding author

**Sifeng Liu** can be contacted at: [sfliu@nuaa.edu.cn](mailto:sfliu@nuaa.edu.cn)