A Novel Region based Image Fusion Method using Highboost Filtering
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Abstract—This paper proposes a novel region based image fusion scheme based on high boost filtering concept using discrete wavelet transform. In the recent literature, region based image fusion methods show better performance than pixel based image fusion method. Proposed method is a novel idea which uses high boost filtering concept to get an accurate segmentation using discrete wavelet transform. This concept is used to extract regions from input registered source images which are then compared with different fusion rules. The new MMS fusion rule is also proposed to fuse multimodality images. The different fusion rules are applied on various categories of input source images and resultant fused image is generated. Proposed method is applied on large number of registered images of various categories of multifocus and multimodality images and results are compared using standard reference based and nonreference based image fusion parameters. It has been observed from simulation results that our proposed algorithm is consistent and preserves more information compared to earlier reported pixel based and region based methods.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Image fusion is a process of combining multiple input images of the same scene into a single fused image, which preserves full content information and also returns the important features from each of the original images. There has been a growing interest in the use of multiple sensors to increase the capabilities of intelligent machines and systems. Computer systems have been developed that are capable of extracting meaningful information from the recorded data coming from the different sources. The integration of data, recorded from a multisensor system, together with knowledge, is known as data fusion [1][2][3][4][5][6]. With the availability of the multisensor data in many fields, such as remote sensing, medical imaging or machine vision; image fusion has emerged as a promising and essential research area. The fused image should have more useful information content compared to the individual image. The different image fusion methods can be evaluated using different fusion parameters [7][8][9] and each parameter varies due to different fusion rule effect. In general, the algorithm used to design fusion rules is based on experiments and it adaptively changes with the change in image contents so it is very difficult to get the optimal fusion method which can preserve all important information from the source images. Image fusion system has several advantages over single image source and resultant fused image should have higher signal to noise ratio, increased robustness and reliability in the event of sensor failure, extended parameter coverage and rendering a more complete picture of the system [1].

The fusion of any registered source images can take place using two approaches; pixel based or region based. The simple pixel based image fusion method is to take the average of the source images pixel by pixel which leads to undesired side effects in the resultant image. There are various techniques for image fusion at pixel level available in literature [2][4][5][6]. The region based algorithm has many advantages over pixel based algorithm like it is less sensitive to noise, better contrast, less affected by misregistration but at the cost of complexity [2]. Recently researchers have recognized that it is more meaningful to combine objects or regions rather than pixels. Piella [3] has proposed a multiresolution region based fusion scheme using link pyramid approach. Li and Young [10] have proposed multifocus image fusion using region segmentation and spatial frequency.

Zhang and Blum [4] proposed a categorization of multiscale decomposition based image fusion schemes for multifocus images. As per the literature [2, 4] large part of research on multiresolution (MR) image fusion has focused on choosing an appropriate representation which facilitates the selection and combination of salient features. The issues to be address are the specific type of MR decomposition like pyramid, wavelet, linear, morphological etc. and the number of decomposition levels. More decomposition levels do not necessarily produces better results [4] but by increasing the analysis depth neighboring features of lower band may overlap. This gives rise to discontinuities in the composite representation and thus introduces distortions, such as blocking effect or ringing artifacts into the fused image. The first level discrete wavelet transform (DWT) based decomposition is used in proposed algorithm to keep it free from disadvantages of multiscale transform.

In this paper, a novel region based image fusion algorithm is proposed. The proposed method provides powerful framework for region based image fusion method which produces good quality fused image for different categories of images. The novelty of our algorithm lies in the way high boost
filtering concept has been used to segment decomposed images using DWT. The novel fusion rule Mean Max Standard deviation (MMS) is also proposed to measure the activity level between two segmented regions of multimodality images. The normalized cut algorithm [11] is used to segment input images. The paper is organized as follows. Proposed algorithm is described in section II. The brief introduction of reference and non-reference based image fusion evaluation parameters are described brief in section III. The simulation results are depicted in section IV. It is followed by conclusion.

II. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

In this section first framework of proposed region based image fusion method is introduced. The block diagram of proposed algorithm is shown in Fig. 1. Results of any region based fusion algorithms are affected by the performance of segmentation algorithm. The proposed algorithm is a novel idea to achieve accurate segmentation using high boost filtering concept. The various segmentation algorithms are available in literature [17] based on thresholding and clustering but the partition criteria used by these algorithms often generates undesired segmented regions. So in this paper, a graph based image segmentation algorithm normalized cutset [11][16] is used for image segmentation. The idea of graph based image segmentation is that the set of points are represented as a weighted undirected graph [10][11] where the nodes of the graph are the points in the image. Each pair of nodes is connected by edge and weight on each edge is a function of similarity between nodes. In our method, a strong similarity relation between nodes is established using high boost filtering.

It is desirable to emphasize high frequency components representing the image details without eliminating low frequency components to get an accurate segmentation. So, the high-boost filter can be used to enhance high frequency component while still keeping the low frequency components [13]. A high boost filters can be simply defined as a weighted combination of original image and the high pass filtered version of the original image. It improves the similarity and dissimilarity of nodes in the normalized cutset segmentation algorithm which leads to an accurate segmentation. To show the efficacy of our proposed method using high boost filter concept, we apply the segmentation algorithm [10] on source Pepsi images as shown in Fig. 2 (a) & (b). In the algorithm [10] average of two Pepsi source image is taken as an input to apply normalized cutset segmentation algorithm and results is depicted in Fig. 1(c). For the same source images, the high boost filtered image is obtained after applying DWT [12] and segmentation applied on this image and the output is presented in Fig. 2 (d). The objects are well separated in Fig. 2 (d).

The fused image can be generated by following steps as describe below.

Step1 The DWT [12] is applied on image IA which gives first level decomposed image of one approximate image (LL1A) and three detail images (LH1A, HL1A, HH1A).

Step 2 The high boost image 11A is generated by adding the scaled approximate image and detail images. The Normalized cut segmentation algorithm is applied on high boost image 11A

\[ I_{11} = K \times LL_{1A} + LH_{1A} + HL_{1A} + HH_{1A} \]

(1)

Where LL1A is first level decomposed approximation image using DWT. LH1A, HL1A, HH1A are first level decomposed detail images. Here K is weight that is used to scale LL1A image.
Step 3 The output of segmentation is used to extract regions from original image IA and high boost image Ia1 generated from LL1 size is not same. So Ia1 is upscale to make it equal to the size of original input image which also called as Ia1.

Step 4 Then n numbers of segmented regions are extracted from image IA and IB using segmented image Ia1 and details about n is explained later in this step when fusion rules are explained. We have used two different fusion rules to compare extracted regions from different kind of source images.

First fusion rule is based on spatial frequency (SF) which is used to identify good region extracted form multifocus source images. The SF is widely used in many literatures [10][11] to measure the overall clarity of an image or region. The SF is computed same as details given in [10] to follow fusion rule 1. SF parameter presents the quality of details in an image. The higher the value of SF, then more image details will be available in that region extracted. It is used to compare regions of Ia1 and Ib1. Intermediate fused image I_FB is generated using following fusion rule 1 as described in (2).

\[
I_FB = \begin{cases} 
R_{FB} & SF_{IA} > SF_{IB} \\
R_{OA} & SF_{IA} < SF_{IB}
\end{cases}
\]  

(2)

SF of nth region of Image IA and IB is defined as SF_{IA} and SF_{IB} respectively. Here n is a number of regions and it varies from 1 to i, where n = {1, 2, 3, ..., i}. The value of i equals to 9 determined after analyzing many simulation results. I_FB is resultant fused image after applying fusion rule-1 as described in (2). This rule is not enough to capture desired region from all the type of source images. So new statistical parameter based fusion rule Mean Max Standard deviation (MMS) is introduced.

MMS is an effective fusion rule to capture desired information from multimodality images. This proposed fusion rule exploits standard deviation & mean value of images. The MMS is described as

\[
MMS_{An} = ME_{An} / SD_{An} \times R_{inmax}
\]  

(3)

Where ME_{An}, SD_{An}, R_{inmax} are mean, standard deviation and maximum intensity value of nth segmented region of image IA respectively. The advantage of using MMS is that it provides a good parameter to extract a region with more critical details. This evident from simulation results described later in this paper. MMS based fusion rule is very important in the case of multimodality images shown in Fig. 6 & 7. This is evident from the following two examples. In first example, multimodality images are captured for concealed weapon detection application. In this case, two source images are captured, first one using visual camera and second using MMW camera as shown in Fig. 6 (a) & (b) respectively. From these pictures it is clear that men are visible in visual camera image where two guns are visible in MMW images. In second example, two source images (i) using visual camera & (ii) using IR camera for surveillance application as shown in Fig. 7 (a) & (b) respectively. In visual image, background is visible but a person is not visible which is an object of interest. In IR image this man is visible. From our study, it is analyzed that with visual images, SD is high and ME is low where in images captured using sensors like MMW & IR have ME value high & SD is low so in our algorithm we have used both SD & ME with maximum intensity value R_{inmax} to derive new parameter MMS. From the experiments, it is observed that the low value of MMS is desired to capture critical regions from the sensor images. The fusion rule 2 is described as below

\[
I_FB = \begin{cases} 
R_{FA} & MMS_{IA} > MMS_{IB} \\
R_{FA} & MMS_{IA} < MMS_{IB}
\end{cases}
\]  

(4)

Intermediate fused image I_FB is generated by fusion rule 2. Fusion Rule 2 is applied for multimodality images and first fusion rule is applied for multifocus images.

Step 5 Repeat the step 1 to 4 for image IB and generate intermediate fused image I_FB

Step 6 Both I_FB1 and I_FB2 are averaged to improve the resultant fused image IFUSE. This new framework of proposed algorithm avoids the shift variance problem because inverse wavelet transform is not required in our algorithm. The high boost image concept is applied to generate accurate segmented image. The graph theory based normalized cut segmentation algorithm is used in proposed algorithm which can extract the regions from the decomposed image. The activity level measured in each region is decided by the spatial frequency and novel MMS statistical parameter which is used to generate good quality fused image for all categories of multimodality and multifocus images. The next section describes image fusion evaluation criteria in brief.

III. EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FUSED IMAGE

We evaluate our algorithm using two categories of performance evaluation parameters for the set of images which are subjective and objective which may further divided into reference and non reference fused image quality assessment parameters. Fusion performance can be measured correctly by estimating how much information is preserved in the fused image compared to source images.

A. Reference Based Image Fusion Parameters

Most widely used reference based image fusion performance parameters are Entropy, Structural similarity Matrix (SSIM), Quality Index (QI), Mutual Information (MI), Root mean square error (RMSE). The RMSE and entropy is well known parameter to evaluate the amount of information present in an image explained [14]. Mutual information (MI) indices also used to evaluate the correlative performances of he fused image and the reference image as explained in [9] is used in this paper as MTr. A higher value of mutual information (MTr) represents more similarity between the fused image and reference image.

The structural similarity index measure (SSIM) proposed by Wang and Bovik [15] is based on the evidence that human visual system is highly adapted to structural information and a
loss of structure in fused image is indicating amount of
distortion present in fused image. It is designed by modeling
any image distortion as a combination of three factors; loss of
correlation, radiometric distortion, and contrast distortion as
mention in [8, 9]. The dynamic range of SSIM is [-1, 1]. The
higher the value of SSIM indicates more similar structures in
fused and reference image. If two images are identical, the
structural similarity is maximal and equals 1.

![Figure 3](image1.png)

Figure 3 Fusion results of multi-focus image of clock (a), (b) Multi-focus source images (c) Proposed method (d) DWT method (e) Li’s method
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Figure 4 Fusion results of multi-focus image of text (a), (b) Multi-focus source images (c) Proposed method (d) DWT method (e) Li’s method
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Figure 5 Fusion results multi-focus image of book (a), (b) Multi-focus source images (c) Proposed method (d) DWT method (e) Li’s method
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Figure 6 Fusion results for multimodality MMW image (a) Visual image (b) MMW image (c) Proposed method (d) DWT method (e) Li’s method
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Figure 7 Fusion results for multimodality IR image (a) visible source image (b) IR source image (c) Proposed method (d) DWT method (e) Li’s method

SMC 2009
B. Non Reference Based Image Fusion Parameter

The Mutual information (MI), the objective image fusion performance metric $Q_{\alpha \beta / F}$, spatial frequency (SF) [10] and entropy [14] are important image fusion parameters to evaluate quality of fused image when reference image is not available. MI described [8] can also be used without the reference image by computing the MI between reference image IA and fused image IFUSE called as $I_{\alpha F}$ and similarly find $I_{\beta F}$ using image IB as a reference image and calculate total MI as defined by

$$MI = I_{\alpha F} + I_{\beta F}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The objective image fusion performance metric $Q_{\alpha \beta / F}$ which is proposed by Xydeas and Petrovic [7] reflects the quality of visual information obtained from the fusion of input images and can be used to compare the performance of different image fusion algorithm. The range of $Q_{\alpha \beta / F}$ is between 0 and 1. The 0 means all information is lost and 1 means all information is preserved.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND ASSESSMENT

The novel region based image fusion algorithm described in previous section has been implemented using Matlab 7. The proposed algorithm is applied and evaluated using large number of dataset images which contain broad range of multifocus and multimodality images of various categories like multifocus with only object, object with text, only text images and multi modality IR (Infrared) and MMW (Millimeter Wave) images to verify the robustness of an algorithm and simulation results are shown in Fig. 3 to 7. High boost filtering approach is used with the K equal to 5 for pair of multimodality images and K equal to 2 for pair of multifocus images to increase the accuracy of segmentation algorithm. These values are determined after analyzing the simulation results of many experiments which improve the visual quality of final fused image. The performance of proposed algorithm evaluated using standard reference based and nonreference based image fusion evaluation parameters explained in previous section and proposed algorithm simulation results are compared with earlier reported Li’s region based [10] and pixel based image fusion algorithm [5] and simulation results are depicted in Table I, II and III.

A. Fusion Results of multi-focus images

The multifocus images available in our dataset are of three kinds (1) object images (2) only text images and (3) object plus text images which are shown in Fig. 3 (a) & (b) clock image, Fig. 4 (a) & (b) text image, Fig. 5 (a) & (b) book image respectively. In Fig. 3 to 5 column (a) multifocus images, left portion is blurred and in column (b) of same figure, right portions of images is blurred and column (c) shows the corresponding fused image obtained by applying proposed method and column (d) and (e) are resultant fused image obtained by applying pixel based DWT method proposed by Wang [5] and region based fusion method proposed by Li and Yang [10]. The visual quality of the resultant fused image of proposed algorithm is better than the fused image obtained by other compared methods. The reference based and non reference based image fusion parameters comparisons are depicted in Table I and Table II. All reference based image fusion parameters SF, MIr, RMSE and SSIM are significantly good for proposed algorithm compared to other methods as depicted in Table I. Also non reference based image fusion parameters as depicted in Table II are better than compared methods. In Table II, SF and $Q_{\alpha \beta / F}$ are remarkably better than other compared fusion methods which also evident from the visual quality of resultant fused image.

B. Fusion of infrared and MMW images

The effectiveness of the proposed algorithm can be proved by extending it to its application to the multimodality concealed weapon detection (MMW images) and IR images. MMW camera image with the gun is shown in Fig. 6 (b) and visible images of a group of persons are shown in Fig. 6 (a). Here the aim is to detect gun location in the image by using the visible image.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Fusion Methods</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>MIr</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>SSIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pepsi Image</td>
<td>DWT Based [5]</td>
<td>11.6721</td>
<td>2.5208</td>
<td>6.6722</td>
<td>0.9364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li’s Method [10]</td>
<td>13.5320</td>
<td>2.7035</td>
<td>4.8129</td>
<td>0.9749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Method</td>
<td>13.5934</td>
<td>3.0868</td>
<td>3.1691</td>
<td>0.9910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Image</td>
<td>DWT Based [5]</td>
<td>23.5505</td>
<td>3.2573</td>
<td>12.2942</td>
<td>0.9135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li’s Method [10]</td>
<td>31.3459</td>
<td>3.5747</td>
<td>5.9062</td>
<td>0.9785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Method</td>
<td>31.5482</td>
<td>3.6607</td>
<td>5.3855</td>
<td>0.9820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Fusion Methods</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>MI</th>
<th>$Q_{\alpha \beta / F}$</th>
<th>Entropy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clock Image</td>
<td>DWT Based [5]</td>
<td>8.1506</td>
<td>6.4403</td>
<td>0.5696</td>
<td>8.1506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li’s Method [10]</td>
<td>10.3350</td>
<td>6.9279</td>
<td>0.7119</td>
<td>8.7813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Method</td>
<td>10.0048</td>
<td>7.7344</td>
<td>0.7018</td>
<td>8.8066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text Image</td>
<td>DWT Based [5]</td>
<td>8.1956</td>
<td>2.9235</td>
<td>0.5317</td>
<td>5.6600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li’s Method [10]</td>
<td>10.4058</td>
<td>2.9647</td>
<td>0.7311</td>
<td>5.6426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Method</td>
<td>11.1208</td>
<td>3.4192</td>
<td>0.7711</td>
<td>5.8867</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In visual camera image details of surrounding area can be observed in shown Fig. 7 (a) and IR camera detect the human in captured image as shown in Fig. 7 (b). The aim of applying fusion algorithm on IR image is to detect the human and its location using both source images information. The visual quality of resultant fused images for both the cases of proposed algorithm is better than other methods new MMS fusion rule is used in proposed algorithm which also evident by evaluating the Table III. The entropy is significantly better than region based methods as depicted in Table III. 

entropy is considered to evaluate the final fusion results of both IR and MMW multimodality source images because both the case IR and MMW sensor source images are blurred and in that case SF and QRF do not give significant values for comparison. The simulated results depicted in Table I, II and III shows that proposed method is performing well than other compared methods for broad categories of multifocus and multimodality images.

V.  CONCLUSION

In this paper, new region based image fusion method using high boost filtering concept is described. This novel idea is applied on large number of dataset of each category and simulation results are found with superior visual quality compared to other earlier reported pixel and region based image fusion method. Here two different fusion rules are applied on broad range of images. The novel MMS fusion rule is introduced to select desired regions from multimodality images. Proposed algorithm is compared with standard reference based and nonreference based image fusion parameters and from simulation results, it is evident that our proposed algorithm preserves more details in fused image. There are number of other advantages of proposed algorithm (1) The segmentation algorithm is applied on decomposed image which is of less size compared to original image so less computation time required to segment source image (2) Proposed algorithm is free from shift invariance problem because inverse DWT is not required to generate final fused image. (3) Performance of proposed method is not degraded as image content changes because high boost filtering approach provides accurate segmentation so our algorithm is not image content dependent (4) Proposed algorithm is region based algorithm so it has an advantage like less sensitive to noise, misregistration, contrast change. Algorithm can be further extended by applying it to other categories of images like medical images and satellite images.

TABLE III. MULTIMODALITY IMAGE FUSION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Fusion Method</th>
<th>Entropy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>DWT Based [5]</td>
<td>6.7842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li’s Method [10]</td>
<td>6.0472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Method</td>
<td>6.7861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMW</td>
<td>DWT Based [5]</td>
<td>4.9802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li’s Method [10]</td>
<td>3.7593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Method</td>
<td>7.3931</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REFERENCES