Convergence of Online Gradient Algorithm with Stochastic Inputs for Pi-Sigma Neural Networks
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Abstract. An online gradient method is presented and discussed for Pi-Sigma neural networks with stochastic inputs. The error function is proved to be monotone in the training process, and the gradient of the error function tends to zero if the weights sequence is uniformly bounded. Furthermore, after adding a moderate condition, the weights sequence itself is also proved to be convergent.
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1 Introduction

Pi-Sigma Network (PSN) [4] is a class of higher order feedforward polynomial neural network and is known to provide inherently more powerful mapping abilities than traditional feedforward neural networks. The neural networks consisting of the PSN modules are widely used for classification and approximation problems [2,5]. The online gradient method is usually used to trained PSN. A convergence analysis of the online gradient method (OGM for short) with fixed order inputs (OGM-F) for PSN is presented in [6]. The aim of this paper is to generalize the result in [6] to the online gradient method with special stochastic inputs (OGM-SS) for PSN. The motivation for us to make such an extension is the following. Apart from the computational efficiency, another reason for people to choose OGM rather than the ordinary gradient method is that OGM helps for the iteration procedure to jump off from local minima due to its stochastic nature (see for instance ([1])). But in OGM-F, the stochastic nature is somehow lost, since its iteration procedure is completely determined as long as the order of the input samples is fixed. OGM-SS recovers the stochastic nature and hence is an important improvement of OGM-F.
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2 PSN and OGM-SS

Let the numbers of neurons for the input, summation and product layers of the PSN be \( P, N \) and 1, respectively. We denote by \( w_k = (w_{k1}, w_{k2}, \ldots, w_{kP})^T \) (\( 1 \leq k \leq N \)) the weight vector connecting the summation node \( k \) and the input nodes, and write \( w = (w_1^T, w_2^T, \ldots, w_N^T)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times P} \). The weights on the connections between the product node and the summation nodes are fixed to 1. We have included a special input unit \( \xi_P \), corresponding to the biases \( w_kP \), with fixed value \(-1\). The topological structure of PSN is shown in Fig. 1.

Supply the network with a training example set \( \{ \xi^j, O^j \}_{j=1}^{J} \subset \mathbb{R}^P \times \mathbb{R} \), where \( \xi^j = (\xi^j_1, \ldots, \xi^j_{P-1}, \xi^j_P) \in \mathbb{R}^P \) and \( \xi^j_P = -1 \). Assume \( g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a given activation function. For an input \( x \in \mathbb{R}^P \), the output of the network is

\[
y = g(\prod_{i=1}^{N} (w_i \cdot x))
\]

For simplicity, we write \( g_j(t) = \frac{1}{2}(O^j - g(t))^2 \). Define the error function as

\[
E(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (O^j - y^j)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left( O^j - g(\prod_{i=1}^{N} (w_i \cdot \xi^j)) \right)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j(\prod_{i=1}^{N} (w_i \cdot \xi^j))
\]

Its gradient with respect to the weight vector \( w_k (k = 1, 2, \ldots, N) \) is

\[
E_k(w) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j(\prod_{i=1}^{N} (w_i \cdot \xi^j)) (\prod_{\substack{i=1 \atop i \neq k}}^{N} (w_i \cdot \xi^j)) \xi^j
\]

In each epoch \( m \) of iteration, the set of samples is re-arranged in a stochastic order \( m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_J \), which is a permutation of the index set \( 1, 2, \ldots, J \). For any initial \( w^0 \), OGM-SS for PSN modifies the weights in the following manner:

\[
w_k^{m_j+j} = w_k^{m_j+j-1} + \Delta_{m_j} w_k^{m_j+j-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq J, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N, \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots
\]
\begin{equation}
\Delta_i w_k^m = -\eta_m g_i \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} (w_i^m \cdot \xi^i) \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} (w_i^m \cdot \xi^i) \right) \xi^i
\end{equation}

Here, \( \eta_m \) is a learning rate and \( \beta \) is a positive constant, satisfying
\begin{equation}
1/\eta_{m+1} = 1/\eta_m + \beta, \ m = 0, 1, \ldots
\end{equation}

Obviously, there exists a constant \( \gamma > 0 \) such that \( \gamma/m < \eta_m < 1/m\beta \).

3 The Main Theorem

The following conditions will be used in this paper (\( C_0 \) is a positive constant):

(A1) \( \|g_j(t)\|, \|g_j'(t)\|, \|g_j''(t)\| \leq C_0, \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \ 1 \leq j \leq J \)

(A2) \( \|\xi^j\| \leq C_0, \ |w_k^i \cdot \xi^j| \leq C_0, \ \forall 1 \leq j \leq J, \ 1 \leq k \leq N, \ i = 0, 1, \ldots \)

(A3) \( \{w^i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \) are contained in bounded closed region \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^N \), and there are finite points in set \( D_0 = \{w \in D | E_w = 0\} \).

**Theorem 1.** If (A1) and (A2) is valid and \( \{w^i\} \) is generated by (4), then
\begin{equation}
E(w^{(m+1)J}) \leq E(w^{mJ}), \ m = 0, 1, \ldots
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\lim_{i \to \infty} \|E_k(w^i)\| = 0, \ 1 \leq k \leq N
\end{equation}

Additionally, if (A3) is also valid, there exists a \( w^* \in D_0 \) such that
\begin{equation}
\lim_{i \to \infty} w^i = w^*
\end{equation}

4 Proof of Theorem 1

First, we present a few lemmas as preparation to prove Theorem 1. Lemmas 2 and 3 is can be found in [3]. And the proofs of Lemmas 4 and 5 are similar, though not identical, to the corresponding results in [6]. So the proofs to Lemmas 2-5 below are omitted to save the space.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that the sequence \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty \), that \( a_n > 0 \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), and that there exists a constant \( \mu > 0 \) satisfying \( |a_{n+1} - a_n| < \frac{\mu}{n} \). Then, we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0 \).

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that \( h : \mathbb{R}^K \to \mathbb{R} \) is continuous and differentiable on a compact set \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^K \), and that \( \Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^K | \nabla h(x) = 0\} \) has only finite number of points. If a sequence \( \{z^i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset D \) satisfies \( \lim_{i \to \infty} \|z^{i+1} - z^i\| = 0 \) and \( \lim_{i \to \infty} \|\nabla h(z^i)\| = 0 \), there exists a point \( z^* \in \Omega \) such that \( \lim_{i \to \infty} z^i = z^* \).

First, we define
\begin{equation}
r_{k}^{j,m} = \Delta_{m_j} w_{k}^{m_j+j-1} - \Delta_{m_j} w_{k}^{m_j+j}, \ 1 \leq j \leq J, \ 1 \leq k \leq N, \ m = 0, 1, \ldots
\end{equation}

The next lemmas estimate \( r_{k}^{j,m} \) and the change of error.
Lemma 3. Suppose that (A1) and (A2) are satisfied. Then, there exist constants $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$, such that for any $m = 0, 1, \cdots$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} \|x_{i,m}^{j}\| \leq C_1 \eta_m \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\Delta m, w_{i,m}^{j}\|, \ 1 \leq j \leq J, \ 1 \leq k \leq N \tag{11}$$

$$\|w_{k,m}^{j} - w_{k,m}^{j}\| \leq C_2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\Delta m, w_{i,m}^{j}\|, \ 1 \leq j \leq J, \ 1 \leq k \leq N \tag{12}$$

$$\left| \prod_{i=1}^{N} (w_{i,m}^{j} + \xi^j) - \prod_{i=1}^{N} (w_{i,m}^{j} + \xi^j) \right| \leq C_3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\Delta m, w_{i,m}^{j}\| + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\Delta m, w_{i,m}^{j}\|^2 \right) \tag{13}$$

Lemma 4. Suppose that (A1) and (A2) are valid. Then, there exists a constant $\alpha$ such that for any $m = 0, 1, \cdots$,

$$E(w^{(m+1)}) \leq E(w^m) - \frac{1}{\eta_m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\Delta m, w_{i,m}^{j}\|^2 + \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\Delta m, w_{i,m}^{j}\|^2 \right) \tag{14}$$

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof to Theorem 1. By Lemma 4, we have for any integer $M$

$$E(w^{(M+1)}) \leq E(w^M) + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left( \frac{1}{\eta_m} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\Delta m, w_{i,m}^{j}\|^2 - \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\Delta m, w_{i,m}^{j}\|^2 \right) \tag{15}$$

Note $E(w^{(M+1)}) \geq 0$ and set $M \rightarrow \infty$. Then, using (5) and (7) we get

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\eta_m} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\Delta m, w_{i,m}^{j}\|^2 \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\Delta m, w_{i,m}^{j}\|^2 \right) + E(w^j)$$

$$< \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha J N C^{2(N+1)}}{m^2 j^2} + E(w^j) < C_4 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} + E(w^j) < \infty \tag{16}$$

where $C_4 = \frac{\alpha J N C^{2(N+1)}}{J N}$. Since $\{m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_J\}$ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \cdots, J\}$, (16) is also valid for $m_i = i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, J$. Using (5) and (6), we obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \|E_k(w_{i,m}^j)\|^2 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\eta_m} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\Delta m, w_{i,m}^{j}\|^2 < \infty \tag{17}$$

From (3), (5), (A1) and (A2), we conclude that

$$\|\Delta m, w_{i,m}^{j}\| = \eta_m \|g\left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} (w_{i,m}^{j} + \xi^j) \right) \prod_{i=1}^{N} (w_{i,m}^{j} + \xi^j) \xi^j \| \leq C^{N+1} \eta_m \leq \frac{C_5}{m} \tag{18}$$
where \( C_5 = C^{n+1}/\beta \). By the mean value theorem we can easily get that

\[
g'_t(\prod_{i=1}^N (w_i^{mJ} \cdot \xi^l_i)) - g'_t(\prod_{i=1}^N (w_i^{mJ} \cdot \xi^l_i)) = g''(t_{j,m,l}) \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \prod_{i \neq k}^{N} (\tilde{t}_{i,m,j,l}(w_{k}^{mJ} - w_k^{mJ}) \cdot \xi^l) \right) \tag{19}
\]

where \( t_{j,m,l} \) and \( \tilde{t}_{i,m,j,l} \) are suitable constants. Let \( e \in \mathbb{R}^r \) be an arbitrary unit vector. (3) and (19) lead to

\[
E_k(w^{mJ+j}) \cdot e - E_k(w^{mJ}) \cdot e = \sum_{l=1}^J \left( g'_t(\prod_{i=1}^N (w_i^{mJ} \cdot \xi^l_i)) \prod_{i \neq k}^{N} (\tilde{t}_{i,m,j,l}(w_{k}^{mJ} - w_k^{mJ}) \cdot \xi^l) \right) \tag{20}
\]

So from (A1), (11), (12), (13), (18) and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

\[
\|E_k(w^{mJ+j}) \cdot e - E_k(w^{mJ}) \cdot e\| \leq C^N \sum_{l=1}^J \left( (\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j} \|\Delta_{m_i}w_i^{mJ}\| + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j} \|\tilde{t}_i^{mJ}\| \|\xi^l \cdot e\| + C^{N-1} \sum_{l=1}^J \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \|w_{k}^{mJ} - w_k^{mJ}\| \cdot \|\xi^l\| + (C_5 \cdot \frac{C_6}{m}) = C_6 \right) \right) \tag{21}
\]

where \( C_6 = (C^{N+1} J(1 + C_3 N \gamma_0) + C^{2N+1} JNC \right) \). Using (17) and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain that

\[
\sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m} \|E_k(w^{mJ}) \cdot e\|^2 \leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m} \|E_k(w^{mJ})\|^2 < \infty, \ 1 \leq k \leq N \tag{22}
\]

Then by (21), (22) and Lemma 1, we conclude that

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} \|E_k(w^{mJ}) \cdot e\| = 0, \ 1 \leq k \leq N \tag{23}
\]

It follows from (21) that for \( 1 \leq k \leq N, \ 1 \leq j \leq J, \ m = 1, 2, \cdots \)

\[
|E_k(w^{mJ+j})e| \leq |E_k(w^{mJ+j})e - E_k(w^{mJ})e| + |E_k(w^{mJ})e| \leq \frac{C_6}{m} + |E_k(w^{mJ})e| \tag{24}
\]

By (23), we can easily get

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} |E_k(w^{mJ+j}) \cdot e| = 0, \ 1 \leq k \leq N, \ 1 \leq j \leq J \tag{25}
\]
Because $e$ is an arbitrary unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^P$, the weak convergence is obtained.

From (4) and (18), we have that for $1 \leq k \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq J$,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \|w_k^{mJ+j} - w_k^{mJ+j-1}\| = \lim_{m \to \infty} \|\Delta_j w_k^{mJ+j-1}\| \leq \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{C_5}{m} = 0 \quad (26)$$

Thus

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \|w^{i+1} - w^i\| = \lim_{i \to \infty} \|((w_1^{i+1} - w_1^i)^T, \cdots, (w_N^{i+1} - w_N^i)^T)^T\| = 0 \quad (27)$$

So, this together with (A3) and Lemma 2 leads to the strong convergence result $\lim_{i \to \infty} w^i = w^*$ and completes the proof.

References