Relevance of Using Interpretability Indexes for the Design of Schedulers in Cloud Computing Systems
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Abstract—At the dawn of the fourth industrial revolution, artificial intelligence is impregnating our society by an overwhelming number of applications with rising complexity. This circumstance has triggered a new debate on explainable artificial intelligence in terms of transparency and confidence. Therefore, the relevance of interpretability is remarkable since this concept provides us with transparency and understandability in avoidance of black-box systems. On the other hand, cloud computing is a new paradigm of distributed computation based on the externalization of computing needs offered as services, whose performance has a significant economic and environmental impact and is strongly influenced by the scheduler, which is, likely, the most critical part in charge of allocation computational resources. In this regard, fuzzy rule-based systems are knowledge-based systems that are increasingly arising as an alternative for the development of cloud scheduling systems, mainly due to their intrinsic features such as adaptability to environments, dynamism and capability to cope with uncertainty. Bearing in mind the above-mentioned ideas, this paper presents a study-case in which the interpretability in fuzzy rule-based schedulers for cloud computing, obtained through automatic learning processes, has been analyzed. Results show how an inherent feature, like interpretability, vanishes due to the use of learning processes for both total execution time and power consumption optimization, which put the focus on the relevance of facing interpretability in this kind of systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy Rule-Based Systems (FRBS) have been adapted to a great range of fuzzy modeling, control and classification problems [1, 2]. Moreover, they have attracted the scheduling community attention for their application to large-scale scheduling [3, 4, 5]. A major advantage of FRBS is related to their ability to cope with noisy or uncertain information presented in highly dynamic systems. Therefore, the application of Fuzzy Systems as schedulers can be very beneficial to make scheduling decisions, for the improvement of the total execution time, following a human-like reasoning and thus to distribute workload effectively among the different resources [6, 7].

Nevertheless, the successful operation of FRBS is strongly related to the quality of their acquired knowledge or fuzzy Rule Bases (RB). Since obtaining such RBs on the basis of experts' criteria is not feasible in most of current applications, mainly due to the lack of experts in the specific area or because only a partial or incomplete description of the system can be derived, self-learning strategies have been pursued. In this sense, the role of genetic strategies has to be underlined. Genetic strategies have proved their effectiveness for the evolution of rules in FRBS based on the survival of best suited adapted chromosomes. Specifically, two main strategies, namely, Michigan [8] and Pittsburgh approaches [9] stand out of the genetic strategies which consider the encoding of rules and RB as individuals of the candidate population, respectively, and are subject to genetic operations.

In addition, new bio-inspired learning approaches for knowledge acquisition are recently emerging based on well-known optimization algorithms. This is the case of the adaptation Differential Evolution (DE) [10] which follows the general procedure of evolutionary algorithms and considers a weighted difference process among RBs to achieve optimization of rules. Additionally, other strategies based on Swarm Intelligence (SI) have been used as well. In this sense, Knowledge Acquisition with a Swarm Intelligence Approach (KASIA) [11, 12, 13] and Knowledge Acquisition with Rules as Particles (KARP) [5, 13] are worth mentioning. Thus, when using KASIA, knowledge bases are considered as particles, which is similar to Pittsburgh approach. However, when using KARP, rules are considered as particles, which is similar to Michigan Approach.
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Hence, given the high dependence of the expert schedulers in cloud computing with the quality of the knowledge bases and thus, with the learning acquisition process, it can be relevant to analyze the performance of these strategies in terms of total execution time and computational effort.

In addition, currently, researchers are facing another important concern which is related to the sustainability of Clouds Data Centers (CDC). Certainly, CDC are increasingly being used by Information Technology (IT) Service Providers, such as Google, Amazon and Microsoft to meet the world’s digital needs [14, 15]. CDC provides an efficient infrastructure to store a large amount of data along with all processing capabilities. Indeed, IT service providers run data centers 24/7 with thousands of servers and storage devices and network services to provide 99.99% availability of cloud services [16, 17, 18]. Thus, the current viability of a sustainable economic system is one of the main challenges facing the world, and the CDC represents one of the largest consumers of electricity. The CDC is estimated to consume more than 2.5% of electricity worldwide with a global economic impact of 30 billion dollars annually [19]. Additionally, it is estimated that data centers have been responsible for the emission of 2% of global pollutant emissions in recent decades [14, 20].

These figures encourage the application of innovative elements and disruptive measures in CDC to achieve greater efficiency in power consumption and reduce pollutant emissions. Among the possible areas of work in this regard, sustainable and green CDC require the application of multiple techniques and technologies. One of the techniques consists in optimizing the allocation of computational need to computational resources. In that sense, the schedulers are the software elements responsible for such assignment under the consideration of multiple criteria and configurations. They constitute one of the main components of the cloud processing structure that interact with other components such as the information systems of the resource management systems and the network maintenance systems to perform their function. In particular, it is necessary to design and to plan strategies capable of dealing with the inherent uncertainty and dynamism of cloud networks in order to reduce power consumption [21, 22] while optimizing the total execution time.

However, as far as authors are concerned, when facing optimized scheduling in terms of efficiency (total execution time) and sustainability (power consumption) with FRBS, there is an important lack in terms of interpretability. Were some efforts performed, in terms of interpretability, related to the efficiency and sustainability in CDC management, experts would be able to understand in a better way the internal relationships that lead to a clear improvement in scheduling processes. Therefore, this paper highlights the relevance of interpretability when designing fuzzy rule-base schedulers for cloud computing, and represents the initial efforts of authors in this issue.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II depicts some important aspects related to interpretability. Section III puts the focus on interpretability indexes. Section IV includes a study-case where interpretability is analyzed. Finally, conclusions and future actions are shown in section V.

II. INTERPRETABILITY

Despite the absence of a clear definition for interpretability of knowledge based systems, there are several terms such as intelligibility and comprehensibility, with which interpretability could be interchangeable. It could be said that interpretability is the ability to understand the meaning of something, therefore, interpretability is always a desirable feature for every single kind of engineer solution. Furthermore, in the case of those applications involving knowledge based systems and due to the increasing importance of eXplainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI), it should be an essential requirement. Certainly, XAI is currently achieving relevance in order to provide easily comprehensible systems to users, so that people can feel confidence, and consequently, society can get benefit from a panoply of advantages due to the application of artificial intelligence. In this sense, besides accuracy, interpretability should be addressed when designing a knowledge based system. Nevertheless, it is important to point out that generating interpretable systems is not a straightforward task. Nowadays, an overwhelming number of fuzzy systems are obtained giving priority to accuracy, disregarding their interpretability, which could be considered an ill-decision because knowledge based systems could become black-boxes, despite its intrinsic and implicit interpretability possibilities.

In this point, it is important to highlight that, looking for a good interpretability-accuracy trade-off is one of the most complex tasks on system modeling, which demands the help of powerful software tools.

Fortunately, there are available open source software in form of libraries and tools that can be used for the development and modeling of systems taking into account not only the accuracy but also the interpretability. In this way, it is important to remark FriDA [23], KEEL [24] and GUAJE [25, 26, 27], for instance.

FriDA (Free Intelligent Data Analysis Toolbox) is a Java-based graphical user interface in order to address a large number of data analysis programs [23]. Additionally, this toolbox is also equipped with basic visualization capabilities, like scatter plots, bar charts, and with specialized visualization modules for decision and regression trees as well as prototype-based classifiers. FriDA’s architecture is formed by individual tools refer to the different data analysis methods. All parts of this toolbox (Java as well as C based) are free and open software under the Gnu Lesser (Library) Public License.

KEEL (Knowledge Extraction based on Evolutionary Learning) is a software that provides different tools in order to assess evolutionary algorithms for data mining problems including regression, classification, unsupervised learning, for instance [24]. Additionally, KEEL includes evolutionary learning algorithms based on different approaches: Pittsburgh, Michigan and Iterative Rule Learning (IRL), as well as the integration of evolutionary learning techniques with different pre-processing techniques, allowing it to perform a complete analysis of any learning model. It is important to point out that KEEL has been designed not only for educational but also for research purposes.
GUAJE (Generating Understandable and Accurate fuzzy models in a Java Environment) is a well-known open source and a dynamic user friendly tool whose main goal is the generation or adjustment of fuzzy knowledge bases in order to deal with specific problems. For this purpose, GUAJE implements the fuzzy modeling methodology called “Highly Interpretable Linguistic Knowledge” [27, 28]. The whole process of using GUAJE is broken down in different, but not necessarily sequential, steps with the purpose of generating a knowledge base related to a specific problem, carefully integrating both expert and induced knowledge. GUAJE is a software that combines several preexisting software tools (not only libraries), with the purpose of building interpretable fuzzy models.

III. INTERPRETABILITY INDEX CONSIDERED

Besides the existence of software for the design of interpretable knowledge bases, the existence of methodologies to analyze the interpretability of FRBS are needed as well. In this regard, given a fuzzy rule-based system, there are two main kinds of approaches so as to analyze its interpretability [29]:

- Complexity-based Interpretability: These approaches are devoted to decreasing the complexity of the obtained model (usually measured as number of rules, variables, labels per rule, etc.).
- Semantics-based Interpretability: These approaches are devoted to preserve the semantics associated with the Membership Functions (MFs), redundancy, contradiction and inconsistency. We can find approaches trying to ensure semantic integrity by imposing constraints on the MFs or approaches considering measures such as distinguishability, coverage, etc.

In [29], authors propose a taxonomy by the combination of complexity-based interpretability and semantics-based interpretability, which leads to four quadrants shown in table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RULE BASE LEVEL</th>
<th>FUZZY PARTITION LEVEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complexity-based interpretability</td>
<td>Q1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of rules</td>
<td>Number of rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of conditions</td>
<td>Number of conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of membership functions</td>
<td>Number of membership functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of features</td>
<td>Number of features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantic-based interpretability</td>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency of rules</td>
<td>Consistency of rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules fires at the same time</td>
<td>Rules fires at the same time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparency of rule structure</td>
<td>Transparency of rule structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cointension</td>
<td>Cointension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness of coverage</td>
<td>Completeness of coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalization</td>
<td>Normalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinguishability</td>
<td>Distinguishability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complementarity</td>
<td>Complementarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative measures</td>
<td>Relative measures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some works consider only one quadrant, while others may be related to several quadrants simultaneously. This could be explained because the improvement obtained in one quadrant could imply the improvement in other one (e.g. reducing the number of MFs (Q2) as a way to reduce the number of rules (Q1)). To be precise, some simple interpretability indexes, which consider the readability of the rule base, are usually used in multi-objective genetic fuzzy-based learning [30].

On the other hand, many interpretability indexes that can be found, like [31, 32, 33, 34, 35], put the focus on the readability of fuzzy partitions. This interpretability indexes are based on the evaluation of main partition properties like distinguishability, coverage, overlapping, and similarity. These interpretability indexes are usually used in order to preserve the readability of fuzzy rule-based systems automatically generated, and in order to increase the accuracy by means of tuning processes.

In [36], the author proposes a numerical index in order to assess the fuzzy rule-based classification systems. This index considers three different terms related to the number of premises, number of labels and coverage. In addition, in [37], authors provide several general interpretability indexes to be applied to any scatter or linguistic model implemented by any type of membership functions.

Finally, this work considers the interpretability index suggested in [28, 38], which is a fuzzy interpretability index obtained from a hierarchical fuzzy system. Input and output variables are shown in table II, where N is the minimum number of rules.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>UNIVERSE OF DISCOURSE</th>
<th>NUMBER OF LABELS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of rules</td>
<td>[N,8 x N]</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of premises</td>
<td>[N,16 x N]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of rules with one input</td>
<td>[0,8 x N]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of rules with two inputs</td>
<td>[0,8 x N]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of rules with three or more inputs</td>
<td>[0,8 x N]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of labels by input</td>
<td>[2,9]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule base dimension</td>
<td>[0,1]</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule base complexity</td>
<td>[0, 1]</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule base Interpretability</td>
<td>[0, 1]</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretability index</td>
<td>[0, 1]</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These variables are taken as inputs of a hierarchical fuzzy system and they are grouped according to the information they convey. Therefore, the Interpretability Index is computed as the result of inference of a hierarchical fuzzy system that is broken down in four linked KBs, see Fig. 1. A first rule base, called “Rule Base Dimension”, makes an estimation of the rule base dimension taking into account as inputs the total number of rules and premises. At the same time, a second rule base, called “Rule Base Complexity,” assesses the rule base complexity bearing in mind the number of inputs used by the rules (one input, two inputs three or more inputs). Additionally, a third rule base, called “Rule Base Interpretability”, combines the rule base dimension and the complexity, through its outputs, and it yields a rule base interpretability index.
Finally, a rule base integrates the rule base interpretability with the evaluation of interpretability for the system variables, considering the total number of labels per input and assuming that the fuzzy rule-based systems to be evaluated only include strong fuzzy partitions, which means that these partitions satisfy the following conditions:

$$\forall x \in U, \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{A_i}(x) = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$\forall A_i, \exists x \in U / \mu_{A_i}(x) = 1 \quad (2)$$

Where $U$ is the Universe of discourse, $N$ is the number of labels and $\mu_{A_i}(x)$ is the membership degree of $x$ to the $A_i$ fuzzy set.

It is important to point out that each end every rule base has been implemented in the form of Mamdani rules considering product t-norm as conjunctive operator, sum t-conorm for aggregation, and the winner rule fuzzy reasoning mechanism.

The different rule bases used by the hierarchical fuzzy system for the assessment interpretability in FRBS can be found and explained in detail in [28, 38].

This paper proposes the use of this hierarchical fuzzy system for the interpretability analysis of the different fuzzy rule-based schedulers for the optimization of the total execution time and the power consumption.

IV. STUDY-CASE

In order to analyze the interpretability in fuzzy rule-based schedulers in cloud computing, several experiments have been carried out. To be precise, two different learning processes have been ran so as to achieve two different schedulers. The first one is devoted to optimize the cloud system performance in terms of total execution time, while the second one is aimed to optimize the power consumption. It is important to highlight that both schedulers have been obtained by the use of a genetic fuzzy systems with Pittsburgh approach. In order to obtain every single fuzzy rule-based scheduler, 30 experiments have been carried out with a population of 20 knowledge bases, selection rate of 90%, and mutation rate of 10%, which decreases with generations.

Experiments that have been carried out consider a cloud system topology involving a cloud data center with 20 worker hosts, which are running 5 Virtual Machines (VM). Every single VM has an image size of 1000MB, 512MB Random Access Memory (RAM), 2000 millions of instructions per second (MIPS) and 2 CPUs. In this scenario, the Montage workflow for mosaic images composition [39] has been executed. Specifically, results are presented for a Montage data trace with synthetic 1000 Node Digital Asset eXchange (DAX) workflows provided in [40], which uses XML files following a specific format, Directed Cyclic Graph, leading to DAX files. These synthetic workflows real applications.

To run the simulations WorkflowSim simulator [41], which was modified in [42] to implement power simulation capabilities, has been used. In addition, the performance of five traditional workflow scheduling strategies is evaluated with the same Montage workflows to obtain compared results:

- **STATIC** (Static algorithm). Schedule based on workflow planner to set the mapping relationship.
- **FCFS** (First Come First Served): It designates each job in the arriving order to the next available VM host, disregarding jobs’ expected completion time on that VM host. If there are diverse resources available, it selects a resource in a random way.
- **MCT** (Minimum Completion Time): MCT allocates each workflow job arbitrarily to the available VM host with the best supposed completion time for the job.
- **MINMIN** (MinMin): The MinMin scheduling strategy orders the workflow jobs in order of completion time. The workflow job with the minimum completion time is chosen...
and associated to the VM host with the minimum completion time expectation. Next, the new incoming jobs are considered to order jobs and the process is repeated meanwhile there exist non-scheduled jobs. The objective of the MinMin strategy is to minimize the overall execution time by the prioritizing of the shortest jobs.

- MAXMIN (MaxMin): The MaxMin strategy is similar to the MinMin strategy, however, the MaxMin strategy selects jobs with the maximum completion time and designates it to the VM host with minimum completion time. The goal of MaxMin is to reduce cost of the more computing demanding jobs.

A. Obtained Fuzzy Scheduler for Time Optimization (SCH-1)

This Scheduler is aimed to optimize the performance of cloud systems in terms of total execution time. To be precise, the fitness used to evaluate the performance during the learning process is given by the makespan, which is defined by

\[
\text{makespan} = \max_{j \in J} T_j
\]  

(3)

Where \(T_j\) is the finish time of job \(j\), included in the complete set of jobs \(J\) of the workflow. The rule base is shown in table III, while its variables, which entail uniform fuzzy partitions, are presented in table IV and its membership functions depicted in fig. 7a).

**TABLE III. OBTAINED RULES FOR TIME OPTIMIZATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RULE BASE (SCH-1)</th>
<th>Output Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Universe of Discourse</th>
<th>Number of labels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IF MIPS is not low and BW is not low and RAM is not medium and TRANSFERT is not medium THEN SELECTION is high</td>
<td>TRANSFERT</td>
<td>Transfer time for host VM</td>
<td>[040,000,000]</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF MIPS is not low and BW is not medium and TRANSFERT is not low and RAM is low THEN SELECTION is high</td>
<td>RAM</td>
<td>RAM being used in host VM</td>
<td>[0-8000]</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF MIPS is not medium and PEs is low and BW is low and TRANSFERT is medium THEN SELECTION is high</td>
<td>SELECTION</td>
<td>Suitability of every single host VM</td>
<td>[0-1]</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, the obtained accuracy in terms of total execution time is shown in table VII, which additionally portrays a comparison to traditional schedulers.

B. Obtained fuzzy scheduler for the optimization of power consumption (SCH-2)

This Scheduler is aimed to optimize the performance of cloud systems in terms of power consumption. The rule base is shown in table V, while its variables, which entail uniform fuzzy partitions, are presented in table VI and its membership functions depicted in fig. 7b).

**TABLE V. OBTAINED RULES FOR POWER CONSUMPTION OPTIMIZATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RULE BASE (SCH-2)</th>
<th>Output Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Universe of Discourse</th>
<th>Number of labels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IF MIPS is medium or POWER is high or LENGTH is not medium or USE is low THEN SELECTION is not high</td>
<td>TRANSFERT</td>
<td>Transfer time for host VM</td>
<td>[040,000,000]</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF MIPS is medium and POWER is high and LENGTH is medium or USE is low THEN SELECTION is medium</td>
<td>RAM</td>
<td>RAM being used in host VM</td>
<td>[0-8000]</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF MIPS is medium or POWER is low or LENGTH is high or USE is not low THEN SELECTION is very-high</td>
<td>SELECTION</td>
<td>Suitability of every single host VM</td>
<td>[0-1]</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE IV. INPUT AND OUTPUT VARIABLES (SCH-1)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHEDULER FOR TIME OPTIMIZATION</th>
<th>Input Variables</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Universe of Discourse</th>
<th>Number of labels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIPS</td>
<td>Millions Instructions per Second being used in host VM</td>
<td>[0-40,000]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>Processing elements being used in host VM</td>
<td>[0-300]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>Bandwidth being used by in host VM</td>
<td>[0-15,000]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Interpretability analysis

Once these fuzzy rule-based schedulers have been obtained, an interpretability analysis has been carried out. The results of this analysis shows how its interpretability are almost inexistent in both schedulers. In fact, the interpretability index for SCH-1 is 0.2952, while the interpretability index for SCH-2 is 0.2867. In this regard, and bearing in mind the knowledge bases involved in the estimation of the interpretability index, in both cases (SCH-1 and SCH-2), the dimension, due to the number of premises, and complexity are very high with the exception of SCH-1, whose complexity is medium. Anyway, the average number of labels used undermines the interpretability index due to the number of premises. In addition, according to authors opinion, bearing in mind their experience on the field, the interpretability of the obtained knowledge bases is not as good as could be desired. In fact, authors find several problems in order to understand the obtained knowledge bases, specially due to the number of rules and to their combination, to the number of premises and the two link operators used (“or” and “and”). Moreover, authors consider that despite the fact the obtained indexes could be a nice indicator on the interpretability, the second one should be lower, in comparison terms, because of its high number of rules.

The obtained interpretability indexes could be considered as clearly improvable, so that these results indicate that additional efforts should be carried out when designing and developing fuzzy rule-based schedulers for cloud computing, not only when optimizing total execution time, but also when optimizing power consumption, or even both in a simultaneous way by means of a multi-objective approach. In this regard, the room for improvement depicted in this work allows the acquisition of interpretable fuzzy rule-based schedulers for cloud computing, whose accuracy will not be negatively influenced when considering interpretability in the learning process.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE ACTIONS

This work has analyzed the interpretability in two different fuzzy rule-based schedulers for both total execution time and power consumption optimization, respectively. Once it has been corroborated that the intrinsic interpretability feature of FRBS vanishes when obtaining fuzzy rules-based schedulers throughout the use of a learning process, this paper encourages to use interpretability indexes in order to obtain efficient and sustainable schedulers in cloud computing in such a way that the improvement obtained in interpretability do not undermines the obtained accuracy in terms of total execution time and of power consumption. In this regard, taking into account the above mentioned ideas and the obtained results, the authors are going to explore three different multi-objective approaches in order to design fuzzy rule-based schedulers for cloud computing regarding the goal to optimize: (1) optimization of total execution time and interpretability, (2) optimization of power...
consumption and interpretability, and (3) optimization of total execution time and of power consumption, considering interpretability when selecting non-dominated solutions in the Pareto front. In this sense, it is important to highlight the first two approaches entail a trade-off between accuracy and interpretability. Nevertheless, the last one considers interpretability without assuming a cost in terms of accuracy, neither in total execution time nor in power consumption.
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