

**INVERSION ALGORITHM FOR ESTIMATING RADIO FREQUENCY  
INTERFERENCE CHARACTERISTICS BASED ON KURTOSIS  
MEASUREMENTS**

Sidharth Misra and Christopher Ruf  
University of Michigan  
2455 Hayward St., Ann Arbor, MI, 48109-2143 USA  
734-764-6561 (V), 734-936-0503 (F), cruf@umich.edu (E)

*Abstract*

Microwave remote-sensing measurements made at L-, C-, X- and even K-Band are susceptible to man-made Radio Frequency Interference (RFI) signals (e.g. Li *et al.*, 2004; Njoku *et al.*, 2004; Njoku *et al.*, 2005; Li *et al.*, 2006; Ellingson and Johnson, 2006). Many analog and digital techniques have been developed that use spectral or temporal gridding to detect RFI (Niamsuwan *et al.*, 2005; Guner *et al.*, 2006; Piepmeier *et al.*, 2008; Misra and Ruf, 2008). The kurtosis detection algorithm uses statistical techniques to identify RFI corrupted data (Ruf *et al.*, 2006). The algorithm checks the normality of the incoming natural thermal emission by measuring higher order central moments of the pre-detection voltage and calculates the kurtosis by taking the ratio of the 4<sup>th</sup> central moment to the square of the 2<sup>nd</sup> central moment. If the ratio deviates from 3, then the data contains non-gaussian (likely man-made) interference and is tagged as being RFI-corrupted.

RFI at L-Band often originates from radars and is modeled as a pulsed-sinusoidal signal. The detectability of the kurtosis algorithm is dependant on the duty-cycle and strength of the RFI (De Roo *et al.*, 2007). The kurtosis detection algorithm performs better with higher strength RFI and with low duty-cycle signals. The accumulation period over which the central moments are calculated influences both the time-average strength and the effective duty cycle of the RFI and, thus, the performance of the kurtosis detection algorithm. If the duty-cycle and strength of the RFI are known, an optimum accumulation period can be found to improve detectability. Also, the kurtosis detection algorithm is blind to RFI with a 50% duty-cycle. This handicap can be avoided by varying the sample integration time if the duty-cycle is known. Finally, knowledge of the strength of the RFI can help improve the mitigation process by eliminating only problematic RFI. For example, since soil moisture measurements require a sensitivity of approximately 1K, mitigating a 0.1K RFI biased sample is unnecessary. In addition to the above advantages, obtaining RFI parameters is a useful technique for system identification purposes.

An estimation algorithm has been developed to determine the strength and duty cycle of RFI directly from raw ADD samples. The estimator requires measurements of the central

moments, and hence of the kurtosis, at multiple integration times. A forward model has been developed which predicts the kurtosis for each integration time for a given combination of RFI signal strength and duty cycle. The forward model is inverted by the estimator. Due to the highly non-linear nature of the forward model, three separate inversion techniques were tested. Newton-Raphson estimation, Genetic Algorithm inversion and Simulated Annealing were used to estimate the power and duty-cycle of RFI from the kurtosis. It is found that the Newton-Raphson technique, while accurate for the most part, was sensitive to the initial conditions, whereas the Simulated Annealing inversion gave the most robust results. As a result, a combination of the two inversion algorithms is used to accurately estimate the RFI parameters.

An overview of the kurtosis algorithm for RFI detection and mitigation will be presented. A description of the separate inversion algorithms for extracting strength and duty-cycle information will be shown, followed by an analysis of the performance of a combination of the inversion techniques based on empirical data.

## References

- De Roo, R., S. Misra and C. Ruf (2007). "Sensitivity of the Kurtosis Statistic as a Detector of Pulsed Sinusoidal RFI," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 45(7), 1938-1946.
- Ellingson, S.W. and J.T. Johnson (2006). "A polarimetric survey of radio frequency interference in C- and X-bands in the continental United States using WindSat radiometry," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 44, 540-548.
- Guner, B., J.T. Johnson and N. Niamsuwan (2007). "Time and frequency blanking for radio frequency interference mitigation in microwave radiometry," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 45, 3672-3679.
- Li, L., et al. (2004). "A preliminary survey of radio-frequency interference over the U.S. in Aqua AMSR-E data," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 42(2), 380-380.
- Li, L., P.W. Gaiser, and M. Bettenhausen (2006). "WindSat radio-frequency interference signature and its identification over land and ocean," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 44(3), 530-539.
- Niamsuwan, N., J.T. Johnson and S.W. Ellingson (2005). "Examination of a simple pulse blanking technique for RFI mitigation," *Radio Science*, 40.
- Njoku E.G., P. Ashcroft, T.K. Chan, L. Li (2005). "Global survey and statistics of radio-frequency interference in AMSR-E land observations" *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 43(5), 938-947.
- Njoku E., T. Chan, W. Crosson and A. Limaye (2004). "Evaluation of the AMSR-E Data Calibration over Land", *Rivista Italiana di Telerilevamento - Italian J. Remote Sens.*, 30/31, 15-33.
- Piepmeier, J.R., P. Mohammed and J. Knuble (2008). "A double detector for RFI mitigation in microwave radiometers," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 46, 458-465
- Ruf, C.S., S. M. Gross and S. Misra (2006). "RFI Detection and Mitigation for Microwave Radiometry with an Agile Digital Detector," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 44(3), 694-706.
- S. Misra and Ruf, C.S., (2008) "Detection of Radio Frequency Interference for the Aquarius radiometer," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 46(10), 3123-3128