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1. ABSTRACT

Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) is poised to be the next generation observations from space after TRMM mission. The GPM mission concept is centered on the deployment of a core observatory satellite with an active dual-frequency precipitation radar (DPR), operating at Ku and Ka bands. The DPR is expected to improve our knowledge of precipitation processes relative to single-frequency radar on microphysics, and better accuracies in rainfall and liquid water content retrievals. This paper presents an algorithm to retrieve parameters of drop size distribution for GPM-DPR. This paper will also compare the algorithm result with other existing DPR algorithms. In addition a classification procedure is also described with further study focused on ice and melting ice particles detection.

2. INTRODUCTION

GPM is a science mission with integrated application goals for advancing the knowledge of the global water/energy cycle variability as well as improving weather, climate and hydrological prediction capabilities through more accurate and frequent precipitation measurements around the global. GPM mission concept is centered on the deployment of a core observatory satellite with an active dual-frequency (Ku and Ka band) precipitation radar (DPR) which is expected to improve our knowledge of precipitation processes. Generally, there are two types of dual-frequency algorithms for a down-looking space radar: 1) the forward method, where the DSDs are calculated at each bin starting from the top bin and move downward to bottom; 2) the backward method, where the algorithm begins at bottom and move upward to the top; Forward method has limited application because of a tendency to diverge in regions of moderate-to-heavy attenuation [1]. Backward method can be further divided into three groups: 1) Standard dual-wavelength (or DAD); 2) Surface reference technique (SRT); and 3) Iterative non-SRT. DAD method requires one of two assumptions: first the rain rate must be uniform over the measured interval; or second, Rayleigh scattering is true at both frequencies [2][3]. The SRT
method uses a backward calculation method which is more stable than forward method but requires a prior knowledge of the total two-way path-integrated attenuation (PIA) for each ray which is hard to accurately estimated especially over land [4][5]. The non-SRT method is a self-consistent algorithm wherein the total PIA for each frequency channel is first estimated using initial guess then optimized it through an iteration process [6]. However, both forward and backward method suffered from bi-valued solution when retrieving median volume diameter $D_0$ for rain described in detail by [7]. Rose and Chandrasekar [8] proposed an alternate method using linear assumption of vertical profiles for $D_0$ and $N_w$ (in log scale) in rain region to avoid the bi-valued problem. Minda et al [9], later, proposed an algorithm using a hybrid approach combining the advantage of forward method and linear assumption in an iterative way and tested the algorithm for the full vertical profiles. The diagram of the algorithm is shown in figure 1. Meneghini and Liao [10] used the backward recursion method and with a weak constraint on PIA.

This paper will focus on cross comparison between the algorithm developed from Minda et al [9] (referred as the Hybrid algorithm) and from Meneghini and Liao [10], referred as SRWC (surface reference with weak constraints). Some preliminary comparison results are shown from figure 2 to figure 4. Figure 2 shows an overpass of NAMMA (NASA African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis) experiment data and marker A, B, C are vertical profiles of interest. Figure 4 is an example of the comparison result using profile B which is shown in figure 3.
Any DSD retrieval algorithm for DPR relies on a classification method. Minda et al [9] provided a hydrometeor classification method including two models: 1) the model to classify stratiform, convective or other type vertical profile; 2) the model to detect the melting layer region. The two models, basically, are developed based on a statistical study of the measured DFR (dual-frequency ratio) and reflectivity profiles. The classification method works well for stratiform case while it is not clear enough for some convective cases especially on ice and melting ice region classification. Liao [11] presented a method to do hydrometeor detection on a pixel basis which showed good result especially on snow detection.
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