1. INTRODUCTION

This paper aims at developing a framework that can obtain a detailed 3D geological map of the environment without any manual intervention. The advents of optics and electronics has enabled the development of commercial multi and hyperspectral sensors making this technology accessible not only for military and government applications but also for civil applications such as mining and agriculture. In particular, we concentrate in the construction of 3D geological maps of the environment. A 3D laser and a hyperspectral camera are mounted in a vehicle. The laser is used to capture geometry and the hyperspectral sensor captures spectral characteristics of the environment. By fusing the information of the two sensors we are able to build 3D geological maps. Figure 1 shows an overview diagram of the proposed framework.

A main application of our framework is in spatial control and classification of material in mining excavation. An automated or remote operated shovel could use the 3D map obtained with the system presented. Having access to real-time information of the geometry and mineralogy of the mine face could give an estimate of the ore-grade and volumes being excavated.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reflectance and emittance spectroscopy of natural surfaces are sensitive to specific chemical bonds in materials. The advantage of spectroscopy is that it can be used at close ranges (laboratories) or far away (satellites). The main disadvantage is that it is sensitive to small changes in the chemistry and/or texture of the material. The variations in material composition often cause shifts in the position and shape of spectral features. Thus, in the real world, spectral features can be quite complex [1]. Nevertheless, spectroscopy has a great potential to estimate or classify key geological properties.

Hyperspectral remote sensors collect data in hundreds of bands. These measurements produce a “continuum” spectrum that, after adjustments and corrections, can for example, be compared with libraries of reflectance spectra. Typically, hyperspectral cameras collect all spectra across a spatial line in the image. Then, some form of scanning is required in order to build up a spectral image. The scanning can be done by either camera movement (rotation or translation) or movement of the scene (e.g. conveyor belt).

Expert systems have been shown to be effective for mineral mapping [2]. The main drawback of these systems is that they require a large amount of input from an expert user. For example, in Tetracorder [2], each comparison of an unknown to a reference spectrum is highly tailored to the chemistry of the reference material. We want to focus on methods that require none or little input from a human or a priori expert knowledge. The next section explains the algorithm used for the classification.
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The first step for thematic mapping is to find the endmembers. In this paper, we apply Sequential Maximum Angle Convex Cone (SMACC) spectral algorithm, which extract endmembers from the images. SMACC uses a convex cone model (also known as Residual Minimization) to identify image endmember spectra. Extreme points are used to determine a convex cone, which defines the first endmember. A constrained oblique projection is then applied to the existing cone to derive the next endmember. The cone is increased to include the new endmember. The process is repeated until a projection derives an endmember that already exists within the convex cone (to a specified tolerance) or until the specified number of endmembers are found [1].

Hyperspectral data processing is problematic due to its high dimensionality [3]. However, the data presents high correlation. The correlation between spectral bands arises from a combination of factors; material spectral correlation, topography (shading introduces correlations), and sensor band overlap [4]. In addition to the correlation between bands, a proportion of the variance exhibited by the data is noise. In the experiments presented here we use Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The principal component transformation is a feature space designed to remove this spectral redundancy. In PCA, the new features are a linear function of the original data, and the aim is to obtain a new space that captures as much of the variance as possible. The result is that a new space is formed by the eigenvectors of the original data covariance matrix.

One of the most effective methods using spectral similarity is Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM) [5]. The algorithm determines the spectral similarity between two spectra by calculating the angle between the spectra and treating them as vectors in a space with dimensionality equal to the number of bands. This technique, when used on calibrated reflectance data, is relatively insensitive to illumination and albedo effects.

2.1. Registration of Hyperspectral Image and Laser data

Once the thematic mapping is completed, we register the resulting mineral classification with the 3D data collected with the laser. In this paper we use a Riegl 3D Laser. Different data representations are possible, from raw data to parametrised models. For the work presented in this paper we use the raw data points with RGB colour superimposed. The colour is obtained from a calibrated commercial camera sitting on top of the laser.

A common registration method used for image-laser registration is to use a checkerboard [6, 7]. We implemented a method that does not require manually selected control points. The basic idea consists in finding the correspondences between hyperspectral image pixels and 3D points. The first step is to transform the 3D point set into a 2D image by projecting each 3D point.
onto a cylinder. A 3D point $P(x,y,z)$ can be transformed in a 2D image pixel $P'(i, j)$ using:

$$i = \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^2 + z^2}}$$
$$j = \frac{dy}{\sqrt{x^2 + z^2}}$$

The procedure is equivalent to the panoramic model described in [8].

The images are then registered with hyperspectral data using control points followed by a spatial transformation. The control points are automatically selected using Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) [9]. Control points are matched by looking for the two closest features in the space of SIFT descriptors. Two points are finally matched if the distance to the closest one is less than a certain value of the distance to the second closest (e.g. 0.6 was used for the implementations presented here). Mismatched points are removed by enforcing viewpoint consistency constraints. In the experiments shown in this paper, we use both, a piecewise linear and a polynomial transformation.

Once we have computed the transformation that aligns hyperspectral and laser projected images, we project back previously extracted thematic maps onto 3D laser data by means of the same cylindrical projection model. Each 3D point is projected again onto the panoramic image registered with hyperspectral. If the projection of the point belongs to the overlapping panoramic-hyperspectral image area, the 3D point is labelled with the corresponding geological classification. Using this method we can obtain a geological 3D map of the environment.

3. EXPERIMENTS

Experimental data was collected in an open pit mine in Western Australia. A Riegl LMS-Z420i laser was used to collect range data and a Neo HySpex VNIR camera was use to acquire hyperspectral images. Since we were close to the target, it was possible to use a calibration board. Given the spectrum of the calibration board is known, we can calculate the radiance of the incident light and with this we can convert the image to reflectance. After trying different noise reduction schemes, we found that using Maximum Noise Fraction (MNF) followed by a Gaussian smoothing filters was the most effective for our data.

We also added two more endmembers, one representing the sky and one representing the darkest areas of the image. These last two do not have any useful value for the geology classification, but were selected to see the capabilities of SAM. We found that in the absence of more uniformly distributed controls points, better results can be obtained by using a polynomial transformation. Finally, Figure 2 shows the resulting 3D geological map.
4. RELATED WORK

A similar approach is presented in [10], where the authors use range sensors and hyperspectral cameras for a case study of a dolomite and limestone quarry. The most important difference with the framework presented in this paper is in the form in which the data fusion is done. While we use only natural features for the sensors’ registration, the approach presented in [10] uses retro-reflective targets to register laser and images. Another important difference is that in [10] the image is manually partitioned in sections followed by a bundle adjustment process. The approach presented in this paper uses Delaunay triangulation to automatically partition the image before a piecewise linear transformation. One of the key prerequisites of our framework is to eliminate any manual process and to avoid the use of artificial landmarks. This is an essential condition to use the system as part of an automation process.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrated that the two main processes (i) classification and (ii) registration can be done without any manual input. We believe the framework presented can enable automation in applications requiring geology estimation in conjunction with geometry data. In future work, we plan to investigate the use of machine learning algorithms to improve our current results. We also intend to test different local invariant feature algorithms to select the control points.
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